503-797-1797 fax



Equitable Housing Working Group Wednesday, August 26, 2015 10:00 a.m. - noon Metro Regional Center, room 370A/B

Working Group Members Present:

Councilor Sam Chase Metro
Councilor Craig Dirksen Metro

Betty Dominguez Home Forward, Multnomah County

Sheila Greenlaw-Fink Community Partners for Affordable Housing (CPAH), Washington County

Rachel Loftin Homebuilders Association of Metro Portland

Alisa Pyszka Greater Portland Inc

Margaret Salazar US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Alma Flores City of Milwaukie
Eli Spevak Orange Splot LLC
Elisa Harrigan Meyer Memorial Trust
Gordon Jones Rose Holdings LLC

Bill Van Vliet Network for Oregon Affordable Housing (NOAH)

Metro Staff and Guests:

Emily Lieb Metro
Megan Gibb Metro
Laura Dawson Bodner Metro
Nikolai Ursin Metro

Ramsey Weit Community Housing Fund

Facilitator and Project Partners:

Kirstin Greene Cogan Owens Greene

Ruth Adkins Oregon Opportunity Network

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

Councilor Chase called the meeting to order at 10:06 a.m., welcoming committee members and guests and inviting attendees to introduce themselves. He noted that the construction excise tax is nearing an end and there is now a social equity criteria component. He said that Metro Council met in retreat and discussed equity. Councilor Dirksen added that the big challenges with affordable housing are coordination and finding resources.

MEETING AGENDA, LOGISTICS AND UPDATES

Ms Greene introduced the agenda and meeting logistics. She invited the committee to submit edits to the July meeting summary to Emily by the end of the week.

SUMMARY OF OREGON ON ENGAGEMENT FINDINGS

Ms Adkins reviewed Oregon Opportunity Network's (Oregon ON) recent work with focus groups and gave an update on the survey and the follow up survey. Findings will be available by the end of the month. Her slide presentation will be posted to the Equitable Housing web page and a link provided to the committee. She talked about respondents, representation within counties, that the need for affordable housing is sometimes questioned and the differences in perception of effectiveness of affordable housing efforts. Survey respondents were self-selected and were primarily from the non-profit sector. Seven developers participated in a focus group. Oregon ON will follow up with another event aimed at gathering additional developer input.

Ms Adkins summarized responses from the survey. For the action strategies question, locating a new long term revenue source was the most common response. Overturning the state ban on inclusionary zoning garnered the second largest response. Respondents thought that if Metro offered technical assistance grants, focus could be on one of four areas: advocate/educate, analysis/planning, support for development of different housing types and providing direct funding. Responses on the question of helpful Metro roles included identifying land for affordable housing development, advocating for state and federal policy changes, developing or evaluating tools to incentivize private development, helping establish a land bank system, convening a regional strategy or process to identify land availability and contributing to the ease of putting together funding.

Ms Adkins said that roundtable discussions in Hillsboro, Portland, Tigard, Gresham and Oregon City were well-attended, primarily by jurisdiction staff and non-profit representatives. Discussion at the roundtables centered on barriers, tools jurisdictions use and Metro's potential future role. Common themes that emerged were the urgent need for affordable housing, the lack of funding and land, a need for better coordination and alignment, the lack of political will, interest in eliminating state-wide preemptions, new and emerging housing types, and that Metro should play a role.

Committee comments included:

- The problem affects not only low income, but also middle-income residents.
- There is a need for a coordinated effort to identify and secure land for affordable housing.
- There is land available within the UGB but jurisdictions need to prioritize it for affordable housing.
- Land locked cities do not have the benefit of an edge on which to build so that they can increase their tax roles.
- There is a bias here towards the non-profit perspective. We need to build projects that will be
 on the tax roles to reduce the burden on everyone else. Create tools that focus upon better
 private sector incentives such as tax abatements and SDC waivers. Include a percentage of
 affordable housing in every project.
- Does inclusionary zoning refer to condos and home ownership but not rentals? Has this been explored?

Action: Research information on the latter issue, especially as it applies to condominium conversions.

Ms Adkins continued, describing the theme of regional coordination and alignment, including determining goals, sharing best practices, sharing data, partnering with the private sector and business communities, and making clear the connections between housing choice, jobs, climate and transportation options. Around the theme of political will, there is a desire for leadership locally and at the state level, an interest in alternative housing types, use of the co-op model of ownership and interest in exploring incentive-based inclusionary zoning. Participants see Metro as an advocate, yet

expressed a desire for local control and a flexible approach. Ms Adkins concluded with differences in the three Counties' perspectives.

Committee comments included:

- There is a lack of understanding between non-profits and for-profits of the components that go into affordable housing. It would be helpful to have discussions to clarify needs and tools available.
- Work force housing is also at the lower end of the spectrum. \$10 \$15 an hour jobs can qualify for affordable housing. In 2013, 35% of our landlords that accepted Section 8 vouchers raised rents. In 2014, 40% raised their rents, and already in 2015, 36% of landlords have raised their rents. 16% of renters are rent-burdened at over 50%. Of people using Section 8 vouchers, over 60% are elderly or disabled.
- There is a need to address stereotypes of affordable housing. If the general public knows that the private sector is interested in affordable housing, it could broaden the discussion.

GROUP EXERCISE: INITIAL FILTERING OF OPPORTUNITY MATRIX

Ms Lieb introduced the opportunity matrices. Committee feedback will be translated into a set of recommendations listed by target outcome. She asked the committee to consider three categories: short term (1-2 year opportunities, including strategies that could be supported through a \$25,000 - \$50,000 grant to a local jurisdiction), medium term (up to 3 years and needing more partnership development) and long term (more than 3 years and/or requiring a state legislative change). The committee reviewed each of the four spreadsheets and provided comments.

Committee comments included:

 Compliments to the staff on the tremendous amount of work done and the organization of the content.

Local policies and programs

- Land banking.
- Consider a state housing fund similar to Massachusetts; the state gives authority to buy locally; decisions are made locally.
- Just cause eviction, rent control.
- Zoning type tools –can they target resources to vulnerable areas using tax Increment financing (TIF); this would be a policy (a similar idea is currently listed under local programs on the worksheet).
- Change or development rights is on the spreadsheet but is not under tools.
- ADUs, cottage clusters, corner duplexes, small lot sizes could fall under up zones or rezones if the definition is expanded.
- Family friendly housing Siteline Vancouver is on the list.
- REACH has adopting passive housing design (new and rehabilitated housing) to reduce utility costs.
- High efficiency central boilers to reduce utility costs.
- Jurisdictions sometimes think a one-off project constitutes a policy.
- The top two points are confusing private development typically pays 60-80% of MFI. Less than 60% is typical for non-profits. On second one, is this talking about property tax exemptions? Waivers and exemptions are not well-explained. Multi-family should refer to property tax. Should say "Qualified projects should include a certain no of..."

- What does equitable TOD mean compared to what Metro's TOD program does now? This item needs to be moved to another of the matrix charts.
- What would it look like to revise land use policies so they reflect an equity lens?
- Consider a sustainability lens: When updating local building code for new development, require or recommend that solar water heaters and solar panels be included.
- Add requirements for affordable housing to apartment-to-condo conversions. Alternatively, create a funding mechanism for low income tenants.
- The multi-family property tax exemption should be 15 years (private); for non-profits it is forever, but only in the City of Portland. This is difficult to summarize at a regional level. Think about future messaging.
- We could offer grants to jurisdictions to research the feasibility of using one of these tools. However, depending on market conditions and timing, this may not work "not right now, but maybe in 3 years."
- Develop property maintenance codes for privately owned substandard housing. Not a high cost, but could go a long way, considering 90% of affordable housing is privately owned and is underregulated.
- Create landlord licensing, a registration program that is used to provide updates to state law; it can be free or not. The City of Gresham provides this service. Messaging is important.

Mr. Van Vliet gave an introduction to Network for Oregon Affordable Housing's (NOAH) programs. NOAH's acquisition loan fund has been going for six to eight years and is structured similarly to the Denver fund. It includes an equity tranche, a semi-equity tranche and a tranche of private capital. Risk tolerance is built into the fund, which was first used to preserve existing federally subsidized housing projects. It also supports land in transit corridors and market to affordable conversion projects. It has been a successful tool in this region. The fund totals \$30 million. \$20 million is private, \$8 million comes from foundations and \$2 million is public capital. The fund includes gap resources to bridge funding, some pre-development loan funds and an energy efficiency financing program for retrofitting existing projects. NOAH has done a lot of work around land banking, including research on how to acquire and hold land and how to dispose of land when it is time to develop. It can be a challenging process to administer. NOAH's programs do not finance ADUs.

Collaborative financing and land:

- Land trust description should include condos and multi-family developments.
- Meyer Memorial Trust is exploring a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) option. It will be \$.5 billion to \$1 billion for multi-family housing. It will be different than the Mercy Corps model.
- On limited equity ownership, state statute allows co-ops for manufactured home parks.
- Add: Public/private partnerships with banks for low interest loans.
- Add: The redevelopment agency serving as the developer.

Revenue:

- Adds: The following tools used in economic development could be linked to housing in some
 way. Community Development Block (CDB) lottery funds, community service fees related to the
 Enterprise Zone, strategic investment zone program at the County level (especially if related to
 work force), independent development accounts (IDAs) and leveraging how companies benefit
 from tax abatements.
- Research how a funding source might address multiple objectives.

- Community service fees occur in the 4th and 5th years of receiving tax abatement in an enterprise zone.
- Demolition fee for affordable housing.
- In Portland, there is a 30% TIF money set aside in URAs. This may increase to 50% of TIF funds set aside for affordable housing.
- Air BnB tax.

Data and Technology:

- Could opportunity mapping and vulnerability mapping be shown on the same map?
- Provide a map of underutilized or vacant public land.
- Does vulnerability and displacement data include affordability and price levels? We have a lot of rent assistance on the ground, and it is hard to figure out where to use that. Some neighborhoods may have higher opportunity, yet the rent assistance does not match with that area.

Emily shared that Metro is taking a regional look at market housing across subareas.

- Nonprofits are trying to build relationships with private market landlords using business license data. Is there a way to map landlords using business license data? Tap into rental landlord associations, foster relationships.
- There is an app called noappfee.com that pulls together private and rental market information.
- Marketing needs to be considered.
- Track how many times people are filling out rental applications. Centralize fee collection to decrease the amount renters are paying for application fees.

The committee members participated in a dot exercise aimed at prioritizing options within each of the four category areas. Ms Adkins concluded by summarizing the ideas receiving the most responses.

UPCOMING MEETING TOPICS AND CLOSING COMMENTS

Ms Lieb said her team will synthesize information and comments into recommendations and will also initiate a conversation with Metro's equity strategy team. She reviewed upcoming event information, including a presentation and panel discussion with Kim-Mai Cutler scheduled for September 18.

The agenda for the September Equitable Housing Initiative working group meeting will include the technical framework, market data from Jerry Johnson, and an update on feedback from the equity team and private developers. The October 20th meeting agenda will include an opportunity to review draft recommendations and a look at draft case studies. At the December meeting, recommendations will be finalized.

ADJOURN

Councilor Dirksen made concluding comments and thanked the committee for their good work. The meeting was adjourned at noon.

Meeting summary respectfully submitted by: Laura Dawson Bodner