



2017 Compliance Report

March 1, 2018

Public service

*We are here to serve the public
with the highest level of
integrity.*

Excellence

*We aspire to achieve exceptional
results*

Teamwork

*We engage others in ways that foster
respect and trust.*

Respect

*We encourage and appreciate
diversity in people and ideas.*

Innovation

*We take pride in coming up with
innovative solutions.*

Sustainability

*We are leaders in demonstrating
resource use and protection.*

Metro's values and purpose

We inspire, engage, teach and invite people to preserve and enhance the quality of life and the environment for current and future generations.

If you picnic at Blue Lake or take your kids to the Oregon Zoo, enjoy symphonies at the Schnitz or auto shows at the convention center, put out your trash or drive your car – we’ve already crossed paths.

So, hello. We’re Metro – nice to meet you.

In a metropolitan area as big as Portland, we can do a lot of things better together. Join us to help the region prepare for a happy, healthy future.

Stay in touch with news, stories and things to do.

oregonmetro.gov/news

Follow oregonmetro



Metro Council President

Tom Hughes

Metro Councilors

Shirley Craddick, District 1

Vacant, District 2

Craig Dirksen, District 3

Kathryn Harrington, District 4

Sam Chase, District 5

Bob Stacey, District 6

Auditor

Brian Evans

600 NE Grand Ave.

Portland, OR 97232-2736

503-797-1700

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	1
Overview	1
Urban Growth Management Functional Plan Compliance Status	2
Regional Transportation Functional Plan Compliance Status	2
Jurisdictions with 2015 Deadlines that Requested Extensions Until 2017/18.....	3
Appendices A, B, C, D and E	

Executive Summary

Metro's Urban Growth Management Functional Plan provides tools and guidance for local jurisdictions to implement regional policies and achieve the goals set out in the region's 2040 Growth Concept. The 2017 Compliance Report summarizes the status of compliance for each city and county in the region with the Metro Code requirements included in the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and the Regional Transportation Functional Plan. Every city and county in the region is required if necessary to change their comprehensive plans or land use regulations to come into compliance with Metro Code requirements within two years of acknowledgement by the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission and to remain in compliance. The information in this report confirms the strong partnerships at work in this region to implement regional and local plans.

In 2017, there were no requests for extensions of existing compliance dates for the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan.

Previously, eleven jurisdictions had a deadline of December 31, 2014 to meet the requirements of the Regional Transportation Functional Plan. As described below and in Appendix D, two of these jurisdictions have requested extensions until 2015. Two have requested an extension to 2016. Two have requested an extension to 2017. All six of these jurisdictions were found to meet one of the two criteria: 1) the city or county is making progress towards compliance; or 2) there is good cause for failure to meet the deadline for compliance. Therefore, all of these extensions were granted by the Chief Operating Officer.

Metro Code Chapter 3.07 Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and Metro Code Chapter 3.08 Regional Transportation Functional Plan – March 2018

Introduction

Metro Code 3.07.870 requires the Chief Operating Officer to submit the status of compliance by cities and counties with the requirements of the Metro Code Chapter 3.07 (Urban Growth Management Functional Plan) annually to the Metro Council. In an effort to better integrate land use and transportation requirements, this compliance report includes information on local government compliance with the Regional Transportation Functional Plan (Metro Code Chapter 3.08) as well as the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP).

Overview

Per the Metro Code, the Chief Operating Officer (COO) may grant an extension request if a local government meets one of two criteria: 1) the city or county is making progress towards compliance; or 2) there is good cause for failure to meet the deadline for compliance.

By statute, cities and counties had two years following the date of acknowledgement of Metro's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) in Summer 2014 to bring their Transportation System Plans (TSPs) into compliance with any new or changed regional requirements. However, Metro exercised its authority under the state's Transportation Planning Rule to extend city and county deadlines beyond the two-year statutory deadline. Metro consulted

with each city and county to determine a reasonable timeline for this work and adopted a schedule that is available on Metro's website at www.oregonmetro.gov/tsp. The deadlines are phased to take advantage of funding opportunities and the availability of local and Metro staff resources.

Appendix A summarizes the compliance status for all local governments with the requirements of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP) by the end of 2017.

Appendix B shows the status of Title 11 new urban area planning for areas added to the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) since 1998.

Appendix C summarizes the compliance dates for each UGMFP title.

Appendix D summarizes the compliance dates for the Regional Transportation Functional Plan (RTFP) in effect as of December 31, 2017.

Appendix E is the Annual Report on Amendments to the Title 4 Employment and Industrial Areas Map dated January 8, 2018.

Urban Growth Management Functional Plan Compliance Status

All jurisdictions are in compliance with the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan.

Portland: After a four-year update process, the new 2035 Comprehensive Plan was adopted on June 15, 2016. Portland's Comprehensive Plan is a long-range plan that helps the City prepare for and manage expected population and employment growth, as well as plan for and coordinate major public investments. The package of Early Implementation projects includes changes to the Zoning Map, the Zoning Code, and other documents to implement the new Comprehensive Plan which was adopted on December 21, 2016. With adoption, all phases of the Comprehensive Plan Update project are completed, and the entire plan is now with the state Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD). Pending DLCD review and acknowledgment, the new plan will take effect May 24, 2018 at 1:00 p.m., replacing Portland's first comprehensive plan adopted in 1980 and updated many times since.

Metro's jurisdiction dropped from three counties and 25 cities to 24 cities after the dissolution of the City of Damascus. Residents of the City of Damascus voted for disincorporation on May 17, 2016. Formal disincorporation occurred on July 18, 2016. Damascus's lands, businesses and former city residents have reverted to Clackamas County's jurisdiction, as was the case prior to the city's incorporation in 2004. Metro staff continue to work with Clackamas County and Happy Valley to ensure that the former Damascus area is planned in compliance with regional requirements.

Regional Transportation Functional Plan Compliance Status

Previously, five jurisdictions had the deadline of December 31, 2015 to meet the requirements of the Regional Transportation Functional Plan. As described below and in Appendix D, two of those jurisdictions requested an extension to 2017 – Gladstone and

Hillsboro. Both of these jurisdictions were found to meet one of the following two criteria; 1) The city or county is making progress towards compliance; or 2) There is good cause for failure to meet the deadline for compliance. Therefore, these extensions were granted by the Chief Operating Officer.

Two jurisdictions, Fairview and Portland, completed their Transportation System Plan and development code updates in 2016 and are now in compliance with the RTFP. Metro sent the City of Portland a letter telling them they were in compliance on December 20, 2016. Portland will finalize performance measures and the packaging of the final TSP by April 2018. This stage of TSP completion was delayed due to the delay of the city's Comprehensive Plan.

Jurisdictions with 2015 deadlines that requested extensions until 2017/18

Cornelius: In 2016, the City was awarded a grant from the TGM program. They are scheduled to complete their TSP update by May 2018.

Gladstone: The City was awarded a grant through the TGM program to complete a TSP update. The City Council adopted their TSP on November 28, 2017.

Hillsboro: The City expects to adopt their TSP by Summer 2018.

Wood Village: The City was awarded a grant from the TGM program to complete a town center concept plan and complete their TSP. Wood Village finalized their town center concept and adopted their TSP on June 27, 2017.

APPENDIX A
Summary of Compliance Status as of December 31, 2017
(Functional Plan effective 1/18/12)

City/ County	Title 1 Housing Capacity	Title 3 Water Quality & Flood Management	Title 4 Industrial and other Employment Land	Title 6¹ Centers, Corridors, Station Communities & Main Streets	Title 7 Housing Choice	Title 11 Planning for New Urban Areas <small>(see Appendix B for detailed information)</small>	Title 13 Nature in Neighborhoods
Beaverton	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Cornelius	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Durham	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Fairview	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Forest Grove	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Gladstone	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Gresham	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Happy Valley	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Hillsboro	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Johnson City	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
King City	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Lake Oswego	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Maywood Park	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Milwaukie	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Oregon City	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance

¹ Title 6 is an incentive approach and only those local governments wanting a regional investment (currently defined as a new high-capacity transit line) will need to comply.

City/ County	Title 1 Housing Capacity	Title 3 Water Quality & Flood Management	Title 4 Industrial and other Employment Land	Title 6¹ Centers, Corridors, Station Communities & Main Streets	Title 7 Housing Choice	Title 11 Planning for New Urban Areas <small>(see Appendix B for detailed information)</small>	Title 13 Nature in Neighborhoods
Portland	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Rivergrove	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Sherwood	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Area 61 extended to 12/31/21*	In compliance
Tigard	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	In compliance.	In compliance
Troutdale	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Tualatin	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Basalt Creek extended to 9/1/2019	In compliance
West Linn	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Wilsonville	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Basalt Creek extended to 9/1/2019	In compliance
Wood Village	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Clackamas County	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Multnomah County	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	Not applicable	In compliance
Washington County	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	See footnote	In compliance	North Cooper Mountain not in compliance	In compliance

*The City of Tualatin requested that the City of Sherwood take over concept planning for Area 61 Title 11 planning in 2012.

¹ Title 6 is an incentive approach and only those local governments wanting a regional investment (currently defined as a new high-capacity transit line) will need to comply.

**APPENDIX B
TITLE 11 NEW AREA PLANNING COMPLIANCE
(As of December 31, 2017)**

Project	Lead Government(s)	Compliance	Status
1998 UGB Expansion			
Rock Creek Concept Plan	Happy Valley	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; development on-going.
Pleasant Valley Concept Plan	Gresham and Portland	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; city annexed 524 acres and development to begin in eastern section.
1999 UGB Expansion			
Witch Hazel Community Plan	Hillsboro	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; development on-going.
2000 UGB Expansion			
Villebois Village	Wilsonville	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; development on-going.
2002 UGB Expansion			
Springwater Community Plan	Gresham	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed for this mostly industrial area; waiting annexation & development.
Damascus/Boring Concept Plan	Happy Valley	Yes	HV portion: Concept plan and implementation measures completed; waiting annexation and development.
	Happy Valley/ Clackamas County	No	The former City of Damascus land area.
	Gresham	Yes	Gresham portion, called Kelley Creek Headwaters Plan, was adopted by city in 2009.
Park Place Master Plan	Oregon City	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; waiting annexation & development.
Beavercreek Road	Oregon City	Yes	Concept plan completed and accepted by Metro.
South End Road	Oregon City	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed.
East Wilsonville (Frog Pond area)	Wilsonville	Yes	CPDG grant awarded in 2013. Concept plan completed in December 2015 as part of Phase I of the grant. Phase II of the grant will focus on the creation of a Master Plan along with Comprehensive Map designation.
NW Tualatin Concept Plan (Cipole Rd & 99W)	Tualatin	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed for this small industrial area.
SW Tualatin Concept Plan	Tualatin	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed for this industrial area.
Brookman Concept Plan	Sherwood	Yes	Concept Plan and implementation measures completed; waiting development.
West Bull Mountain (River Terrace)	Tigard	Yes	Combined with Roy Rogers West (2011); development ongoing.
Study Area 59	Sherwood	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; school constructed.
Study Area 61 (Cipole Rd)	Sherwood	Extension to 12/31/2021	Extension agreement – planning shall be completed when Urban Reserve 5A is completed, or by 12/31/2021, whichever is sooner.
99W Area (near Tualatin-Sherwood Rd)	Sherwood	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed.

Project	Lead Government(s)	Compliance	Status
Cooper Mountain area	Washington County	No	Preliminary planning completed by City of Beaverton. Community plan pending Washington County work program.
Study Area 64 (14 acres north of Scholls Ferry Rd)	Beaverton	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to City.
Study Area 69 & 71	Hillsboro	Yes	Areas are included in South Hillsboro Area Plan. City has adopted these areas into its comprehensive plan; upon annexation, they will be zoned to comply with comp plan.
Study Area 77	Cornelius	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to City.
Forest Grove Swap	Forest Grove	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to City.
Shute Road Concept Plan	Hillsboro	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to City and portion developed with Genentech.
North Bethany Subarea Plan	Washington County	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexations underway with development occurring.
Bonny Slope West Concept Plan (Area 93)	Multnomah County	Yes	Planning completed.
2004/2005 UGB Expansion			
Damascus area	Damascus	See under 2002 above	Included with Damascus comprehensive plan (see notes above).
Tonquin Employment Area	Sherwood	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed.
Basalt Creek/West RR Area Concept Plan	Tualatin and Wilsonville	IGA extension to 10/2019; CET extension to 6/30/18	Work continues on concept planning. Cities to agree to the concept plan by 12/31/17. Comprehensive plan and/or zoning map amendments to be complete 6/30/18.
N. Holladay Concept Plan	Cornelius	Yes	Concept plan completed; implementation to be finalized after annexation to City.
Evergreen Concept Plan	Hillsboro	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed.
Helvetia Concept Plan	Hillsboro	Yes	Concept plan and implementation measures completed.
2011 UGB Expansion			
North Hillsboro	Hillsboro	Yes	Concept planning completed. Awaits annexation to city.
South Hillsboro	Hillsboro	Yes	Concept planning completed. Awaits annexation to city.
South Cooper Mountain	Beaverton	Yes	Concept planning completed.
Roy Rogers West (River Terrace)	Tigard	Yes	See West Bull Mountain.

2014 UGB Expansion (HB 4078)	Lead Government(s)	Compliance	Status
Cornelius North	Cornelius	Yes	Comprehensive planning completed. Awaits annexation to city.
Cornelius South	Cornelius	Yes	Comprehensive planning completed. Partially annexed to city.
Forest Grove (Purdin Road)	Forest Grove	Yes	Comprehensive plan work in progress. CPDG Cycle 3.
Forest Grove (Elm Street)	Forest Grove	Yes	Comprehensive plan work in progress. CPDG Cycle 3.
Hillsboro (Jackson School)	Hillsboro	No	Comprehensive plan work scheduled. CPDG Cycle 4.

APPENDIX C
COMPLIANCE DATES FOR THE
URBAN GROWTH MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONAL PLAN

Functional Plan Requirement	When Local Decisions Must Comply		
	Plan/Code Amendment 3.07.810(C) ¹	Land Use Decision 3.07.810(D) ²	Adoption 3.07.810(B) ³
Title 1: Adopt minimum dwelling unit density (3.07.120.B)	12/21/2013	12/21/2013	12/21/2014
Title 1: Allow accessory dwelling unit in SFD zones (3.07.120.G) <i>(provision included in previous version of Metro Code as 3.07.140.C)</i>	12/8/2000		12/8/2002
Title 3: Adopt model ordinance or equivalent and map or equivalent (3.07.330.A)	12/8/2000		12/8/2002
Title 3: Floodplain management performance standards (3.07.340.A)	12/8/2000	12/8/2001	12/8/2002
Title 3: Water quality performance standards (3.07.340.B)	12/8/2000	12/8/2001	12/8/2002
Title 3: Erosion control performance standards (3.07.340.C)	12/8/2000	12/8/2001	12/8/2002

¹ After one year following acknowledgment of a UGMFP requirement, cities and counties that amend their plans and land use regulations shall make such amendments in compliance with the new functional plan requirement.

² A city or county that has not yet amended its plan to comply with a UGMFP requirement must, following one year after acknowledgement of the requirement (the date noted), apply the requirement directly to land use decisions

³ Cities and counties must amend their plans to comply with a new UGMFP requirement within two years after acknowledgement of the requirement (the date noted)

Functional Plan Requirement	When Local Decisions Must Comply		
	Plan/Code Amendment 3.07.810(C) ¹	Land Use Decision 3.07.810(D) ²	Adoption 3.07.810(B) ³
Title 4: Limit uses in Regionally Significant Industrial Areas (3.07.420)	7/22/2005	7/22/2006	7/22/2007
Title 4: Prohibit schools, places of assembly larger than 20,000 square feet, or parks intended to serve people other than those working or residing in the area in Regional Significant Industrial Areas (3.07.420D)	12/21/2013	12/21/2013	12/21/2014
Title 4: Limit uses in Industrial Areas (3.07.430)	7/22/2005	7/22/2006	7/22/2007
Title 4: Limit uses in Employment Areas (3.07.440)	7/22/2005	7/22/2006	7/22/2007
Title 6: (Title 6 applies only to those local governments seeking a regional investment or seeking eligibility for lower mobility standards and trip generation rates)	12/21/12	12/21/13	12/21/14
Title 7: Adopt strategies and measures to increase housing opportunities (3.07.730)			6/30/2004
Title 8: Compliance Procedures (45-day notice to Metro for amendments to a comprehensive plan or land use regulation) (3.07.820)	2/14/2003		
Title 11: Develop a concept plan for urban reserve prior to its addition to the UGB (3.07.1110)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Functional Plan Requirement	When Local Decisions Must Comply		
	Plan/Code Amendment 3.07.810(C) ¹	Land Use Decision 3.07.810(D) ²	Adoption 3.07.810(B) ³
Title 11: Prepare a comprehensive plan and zoning provisions for territory added to the UGB (3.07.1120)	12/8/2000	12/8/2001	2 years after the effective date of the ordinance adding land to the UGB unless the ordinance provides a later date
Title 11: Interim protection for areas added to the UGB (3.07.1130) <i>(provision included in previous version of Metro Code as 3.07.1110)</i>	12/8/2000	12/8/2001	12/8/2002
Title 12: Provide access to parks by walking, bicycling, and transit (3.07.1240.B)			7/7/2005
Title 13: Adopt local maps of Habitat Conservation Areas consistent with Metro-identified HCAs (3.07.1330.B)	12/28/2005	1/5/2008	1/5/2009
Title 13: Develop a two-step review process (Clear & Objective and Discretionary) for development proposals in protected HCAs (3.07.1330.C & D)	12/28/2005	1/5/2008	1/5/2009
Title 13: Adopt provisions to remove barriers to, and encourage the use of, habitat-friendly development practices (3.07.1330.E)	12/28/2005	1/5/2008	1/5/2009

APPENDIX D

Summary of Compliance Status for 2017 (Regional Transportation Functional Plan in effect as of 12/31/2014)

Jurisdiction	Title 1 Transportation System Design	Title 2 Development and Update of Transportation System Plans	Title 3 Transportation Project Development	Title 4 Regional Parking Management	Title 5 Amendment of Comprehensive Plans
Beaverton	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Cornelius	12/31/16*	12/31/16*	12/31/16*	12/31/16*	12/31/16*
Durham	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt
Fairview	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Forest Grove	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Gladstone	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Gresham	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Happy Valley	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Hillsboro	12/31/17*	12/31/17*	12/31/17*	12/31/17*	12/31/17*
Johnson City	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt
King City	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt
Lake Oswego	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Maywood Park	Recommending exemption	Recommending exemption	Recommending exemption	Recommending exemption	Recommending exemption
Milwaukie	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Oregon City	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Portland	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Rivergrove	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt
Sherwood	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Tigard	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Troutdale	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	Exception	In compliance
Tualatin	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
West Linn	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Wilsonville	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Wood Village	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Clackamas County	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance
Multnomah County	12/31/17	12/31/17	12/31/17	12/31/17	12/31/17
Washington County	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance	In compliance

Date shown in table is the deadline for compliance with the Regional Transportation Functional Plan (RTFP). Note – a city or county that has not yet amended its plan to comply with the RTFP must, following one year after RTFP acknowledgement, apply the RTFP directly to land use decisions.

**Expected completion date Summer 2018.*



Memo

Date: January 8, 2018
To: Metro Council and the Metro Policy Advisory Committee
From: Martha Bennett, Chief Operating Officer
Subject: Annual report on amendments to the Title 4 Employment and Industrial Areas Map

Background

Title 4 (Industrial and Other Employment Areas) of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan seeks to improve the region's economy by protecting a supply of sites for employment by limiting the types and scale of non-industrial uses in Regionally Significant Industrial Areas, Industrial Areas, and Employment Areas. Those areas are depicted on the Employment and Industrial Areas Map.

Title 4 sets forth several avenues for amending the map, either through a Metro Council ordinance or through an executive order, depending on the circumstances. Title 4 requires that, by January 31 of each year, Metro's Chief Operating Officer submit a written report to the Council and MPAC on the cumulative effects on employment land in the region of amendments to the Employment and Industrial Areas Map during the preceding year. This memo constitutes the report for 2017.

Title 4 map amendments in 2017

There were no amendments made to the Title 4 Map in 2017 either by the Council or through executive order.

Chief Operating Officer recommendations

I do not, at this time, recommend changes to Title 4 policies.