



This page intentionally left blank.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.0 Introduction .....	4-1
Purpose .....	4-1
Chapter organization .....	4-1
4.1 Mobility .....	4-3
4.1.1 Evolving travel patterns .....	4-4
4.1.2 System completeness .....	4-8
4.1.3 VMT per capita .....	4-27
4.1.4 Throughway travel speed reliability.....	4-27
4.1.5 Transit frequency .....	4-28
4.1.6 Access to destinations.....	4-29
4.2 Safety .....	4-31
4.2.1 Historical crash analysis .....	4-32
4.2.2 Crashes by mode.....	4-35
4.2.3 High Injury Corridors .....	4-35
4.3 Equity .....	4-41
4.3.1 History of discriminatory planning in the Greater Portland region .....	4-41
4.3.2 Demographic and economic changes .....	4-45
4.3.3 Inequities in housing and employment .....	4-46
4.3.4 Transportation needs in Equity Focus Areas.....	4-49
4.4 Economy.....	4-63
4.4.1 Jobs and growth .....	4-63
4.4.2 Where jobs are located .....	4-66
4.4.3 How workers move through the region.....	4-67
4.4.4 How goods move through the region .....	4-69
4.4.5 Connecting the region’s employment centers.....	4-71
4.5 Climate .....	4-73
4.5.1 The 2023 RTP GHG and VMT gap.....	4-75
4.5.2 Recent trends in GHG emissions and VMT per capita .....	4-78
4.6 Conclusion.....	4-83

## FIGURES

Figure 4.1: Trip volumes by mode and by facility type, indexed to February 2020 levels, February 2020-2021 (PBOT freight route and arterial count data; ODOT throughway count data; TriMet transit ridership performance reports; data were compiled in April 2021) .....	4-6
Figure 4.2: Weekday vehicle and transit volume changes, October 2019-October 2021 (ODOT throughway count data; Streetlight arterial volume data; TriMet transit ridership by route data) .....	4-7
Figure 4.3: Regional transit network gaps in 2022 (2018 RTP networks and 2022 partner agency data)	4-11
Figure 4.4: Regional pedestrian network gaps in 2022 (2018 RTP networks and RLIS data) .....	4-15
Figure 4.5: Regional bicycle network gaps in 2022 (2018 RTP networks and RLIS data).....	4-17
Figure 4.6: Regional trail network gaps in 2022 (2018 RTP networks and RLIS data) .....	4-21
Figure 4.7: 2018 RTP regional motor vehicle network map (2018 RTP networks and 2022 partner agency data).....	4-25
Figure 4.8: 2019 throughway travel speed reliability performance (2019 RITIS data).....	4-28
Figure 4.9: Map of high-frequency transit (headways of less than 15 minutes) and transit-supportive communities (12.5 or more people and/or jobs per acre), 2020 (Metro regional travel model and distributed growth forecast).....	4-29
Figure 4.10: Five-year average rates of fatal crashes, 2007-2020, with trendlines and Vision Zero targets (ODOT crash data, analyzed by Metro staff) .....	4-33
Figure 4.11: Five-year average rates of fatal and serious injury crashes by mode, 2007-2020, with trendlines and Vision Zero targets (ODOT crash data analyzed by Metro) .....	4-33
Figure 4.12: Annual fatalities by county, 2016-2021 (ODOT preliminary fatal crash data).....	4-34
Figure 4.13: All crashes and fatal crashes by mode, 2016-2020 (ODOT data analyzed by Metro) .....	4-35
Figure 4.14: 2023 RTP High Injury Corridors and Intersections, 2016-2020 2016-2020 (ODOT data analyzed by Metro) .....	4-37
Figure 4.15: Timeline of discriminatory planning and advancements toward equity in the Greater Portland region .....	4-43
Figure 4.16: Population by race and ethnicity in the Portland region and surrounding counties, 2000 and 2020 (U.S. Census) .....	4-45
Figure 4.17: Current and forecasted population by age cohort in the 7-county greater Portland region, 2020 and 2045 (MetroScope) .....	4-46
Figure 4.18: Homeownership rates by race and income for Multnomah, Washington and Clackamas Counties, 2020 (American Community Survey) .....	4-47
Figure 4.19: Regional employment rates for workers earning above and below the median wage (indexed to January 2020) January 2020 – August 2021 (Earnin, Intuit, Kronos and Paychex data, analyzed by Cambridge Systematics for the Commodities Movement Study) .....	4-48
Figure 4.20: 2023 RTP Equity Focus Areas (Census and American Community Survey data, 2016-2020)	4-51
Figure 4.21: Regional transit network gaps (2018 RTP networks and 2022 partner agency data) .....	4-55

Figure 4.22: Map of high-frequency transit (headways of less than 15 minutes) and transit-supportive communities (12.5 or more people and/or jobs per acre), 2020 (Metro travel model, 2018 RTP transit network and distributed growth forecast) .....	4-57
Figure 4.23: Percent of jobs accessible by driving and by transit by community type, 2020 (Metro travel model, 2018 RTP transit network, and land use data) .....	4-59
Figure 4.24: Pedestrian, bicycle and trail network completion for EFAs and non-EFAs (2018 RTP networks and current RLIS data) .....	4-60
Figure 4.25: Percent of average annual traffic fatalities and severe injuries in Equity Focus Areas, by mode, 2016-2021 (ODOT crash data, analyzed by Metro staff) .....	4-62
Figure 4.26: Unemployment rate in the greater Portland region vs. the U.S., 2000-22 (Oregon Employment Department, 2022) .....	4-64
Figure 4.27: Employment by industry in the greater Portland region (Oregon Employment Department, 2019) .....	4-65
Figure 4.28: Number of jobs by Census Tract, 2021 (Economic Value Atlas: Esri/DataAxle) .....	4-66
Figure 4.29: 2040 Growth Concept Map .....	4-67
Figure 4.30: Where workers live and commute in the greater Portland region and surrounding counties, 2019 (Census LEHD Origin-Destination Employment Statistics) .....	4-68
Figure 4.31: Weight of outbound freight by mode in the Greater Portland Region, 2017 (Freight Analysis Framework data).....	4-70
Figure 4.32: Value of outbound freight by mode in the Greater Portland Region, 2017 (Freight Analysis Framework data).....	4-70
Figure 4.33: Climate Smart greenhouse gas reduction strategies .....	4-74
Figure 4.34: Estimated percentage reductions in daily VMT per capita, Target vs. STS+RTP18 Scenario.....	4-77
Figure 4.35: Total Oregon GHG emissions, 1990-2021 (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Greenhouse Gas Sector-Based Inventory Data) .....	4-78
Figure 4.36: Total Oregon GHG transportation-sector emissions, 1990-2021 (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Greenhouse Gas Sector-Based Inventory Data) .....	4-79
Figure 4.37: Daily VMT per capita for the Greater Portland region (dark blue) and the U.S (light blue), 1990-2020 (Oregon and Washington Highway Performance Monitoring System offices) and regional climate targets (green).....	4-80
Figure 4.38: Home-based VMT per capita by Metro transportation analysis zone, 2020 (Metro regional travel model).....	4-82

## TABLES

Table 4.1: Commute mode shares in the Greater Portland region, 2010-2019 (American Community Survey five-year estimates, 2006-10 and 2015-19 data) .....	4-4
Table 4.2: System completeness by modal network and location within the region (2018 RTP networks, RLIS data and 2022 partner agency data) .....	4-8
Table 4.3: Percent of jobs accessible by driving and by transit by time of day, 2020 (Metro travel model and land use data).....	4-30
Table 4.4: Federal Safety Performance Measures for Traffic Fatalities and Serious Injuries, 2016-2020 (Oregon Department of Transportation crash data analyzed by Metro) .....	4-32
Table 4.5: Roadways within the MPA with two or more serious traffic injuries per mile, 2016-2020 (ODOT crash data analyzed by Metro).....	4-39
Table 4.6: Percent of jobs accessible by driving and by transit, by community type and time of day, 2020 (Metro travel model and land use data).....	4-71
Table 4.7: Bike/ped system completeness by location within the region (2018 RTP networks, RLIS data, and 2022 partner agency data).....	4-72
Table 4.8: Estimated absolute and percentage reductions in daily VMT per capita by scenario .....	4-76

## 4.0 INTRODUCTION

### Purpose

The greater Portland region is an extraordinary place to call home. It is known for its unique communities, a diverse and growing economy and a well-connected transportation system. The region is surrounded by stunning natural landscapes and crisscrossed with a network of parks, trails and natural areas within a walk, bike ride or transit stop from home. It also serves as a freight gateway to domestic and international markets for businesses located throughout the state of Oregon, southwest Washington, the mountain states and the Midwest.

The region did not get this way by accident. Over the years, communities throughout the region have taken a collaborative approach to planning that has helped make the region one of the most livable in the country. Every day, the region's 2.4 million people have places to go—to work or school, to doctors and grocery stores and parks and back home again. All these trips, along with our transportation system, knit the region together—from Forest Grove to Troutdale, Vancouver and Portland to Wilsonville and every community in between.

Through our dedication to planning and working together to make local and regional plans a reality, we have set a wise course for managing growth, but new challenges continue to emerge. Our success in creating a livable region has attracted new residents and employers, but our housing supply hasn't kept up with population growth, and it has become prohibitively expensive for many people to afford homes, particularly in neighborhoods where it is easy to walk, bike or take transit. This may be one of the reasons why some recent investments in transit and trails haven't drawn as many users as they have in past decades. And even the best-laid plans couldn't have anticipated the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, which dramatically reshaped how people travel and continues to affect the region even as the public health emergency recedes. These recent changes add to the some of the challenges already posed by the region's geographic setting, which include river crossings, topography, and vulnerability to earthquakes, wildfires, and other natural disasters.

This chapter provides a snapshot of current conditions and trends within the Greater Portland region based on the information available at the outset of the RTP process as of the middle of 2022, and it highlights key regional transportation challenges and needs for the plan to address. Chapter 6 describes the projects that are included in the RTP to address these needs, and Chapter 7 evaluates how the RTP will address these issues, often using the same metrics that are described here.

### Chapter organization

The RTP Needs Assessment is organized around the five 2023 RTP priorities: mobility, safety, equity, economy, and climate. Each section of this chapter is dedicated to one of these priorities, and contains research, maps and data describing transportation needs with respect to each priority. Because these goals are often aligned—for example, increasing transit service often

benefits mobility, climate, and equity—some sections contain similar information, or refer to relevant information in other sections.

## 4.1 MOBILITY

The Regional Mobility Policy in Chapter 3 of the 2023 RTP redefines how the region defines and measures mobility throughout the plan, establishing three performance measures for transportation agencies to use in plans and projects:

- System completeness
- Vehicle miles traveled
- Travel speed reliability on throughways

Development of the regional mobility policy began in 2019, through a joint effort of Metro and the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). In late 2022 JPACT and the Metro Council accepted the draft mobility policies and implementation action plan and directed further development of the accompanying performance measures as part of completing the 2023 RTP.

The throughway performance measure and thresholds aim to identify future transportation needs on region's throughways using travel speed as a proxy for reliability. The policy defines a minimum throughway performance threshold of no more than four hours per weekday with travel speeds below 35 miles per hour on controlled access freeways (e.g., I-5, I-84, I-205, I-405, US 26 and OR 217) or 20 miles per hour on signalized highways (e.g., OR 99E, US 30, OR 212) designated in Figure 3.8. If average speeds fall below the relevant speed threshold for more than a total of four hours in a day, it indicates the system is failing at that location and a transportation need exists.

This section provides a general update on how travel patterns have evolved since the last RTP update in 2018 as well as baseline information on the three measures above. Key findings include:

- Travel declined during the COVID pandemic. Between October 2019 and October 2021, daily throughway trips on a sample of regional mobility corridors decreased by 5%, daily arterial trips decreased by 14%, and daily transit ridership decreased by 41%.
- Overall, the planned motor vehicle network is much more complete than the other modal networks.
- Active transportation networks are mostly complete near transit. However, there are plenty of small gaps that hinder people's ability to walk and bike to transit stations and other important destinations. There are larger bicycle and pedestrian gaps between urban centers and at the edges of the region, many of which are on the trail system.
- Per capita VMT in the greater Portland region has been significantly lower than the national average since 1997 and has mostly been flat or declining. But in order to meet ambitious VMT reduction targets the region will likely need to take new approaches.
- During rush hour, the average traveler can reach 43% of jobs in the region by driving, and 7% by transit. Metro and partner agencies are working to increase ridership by better connecting activity centers—potentially including many developing suburban centers—with frequent transit.

### 4.1.1 Evolving travel patterns

Between 2015 (the base year for the 2018 RTP update) and 2020 (the base year for the 2023 RTP update), the region grew significantly—by 135,000 people (an 8.4% increase), 57,000 households (8.9%) and 90,000 jobs (10.1%).<sup>1</sup> This growth is projected to continue, though not necessarily at the same rapid rate as the region saw during the previous decade. As Greater Portland continues to evolve into a major metropolitan area, with increasing housing prices and a more specialized economy, commute patterns are becoming more complex. Figure 4.30 in the Thriving Economy section provides a window into this growing complexity; it shows how workers commute within and between counties in and around the region. Over 45% of workers in the 3 Metro-area counties work in a different county than where they live.

Though the number of jobs and homes in the region is growing, the way that people commute hasn't changed much. Table 4.1 shows commute mode shares for 2010 and 2019 (the base year for the 2023 RTP update, and the last year of available data that does not reflect the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic). The table shows both absolute change in mode shares between 2010 and 2019 (which better captures which modes are dominant in the region, but can understate change for modes other than driving because they are less widely-used to begin with) and relative change (which better captures the extent to which usage of different modes is growing or declining relative to current levels, but can also amplify small variations that are due to margins of error or other reporting issues). This data is built up from Census tract-level estimates for all tracts within the MPA boundary, weighted according to the population in each tract.

**Table 4.1: Commute mode shares in the Greater Portland region, 2010-2019 (American Community Survey five-year estimates, 2006-10 and 2015-19 data)**

Mode	2010 mode shares	2019 mode shares	Absolute change 2010-2019	Relative change 2010-2019
Drive alone	69.5%	67.8%	-1.7%	-2.4%
Carpool	9.9%	9.2%	-0.7%	-6.6%
Transit	7.7%	8.1%	0.4%	5.3%
Walk	3.7%	3.6%	-0.1%	-2.4%
Bike	2.3%	2.6%	0.2%	10.4%
Work from home	6.0%	7.6%	1.6%	26.4%

Between 2010 and 2019, vehicle commute shares fell slightly, the share of people biking or taking public transportation to work rose slightly, and there were very small changes in how many people walk to work. This reflects the challenges inherent in achieving the RTP's goal of supporting a shift from driving to other modes. Though the region has prioritized investments in transit and active transportation over the past several decades, the motor vehicle network is far more built-out than other networks and people's daily travel habits are deeply ingrained, so even major multimodal investments only produce incremental changes. The rising cost of housing, especially in walkable neighborhoods near transit stations, may also play a role since it makes it

<sup>1</sup> Metro Regional Travel Model.



harder for people with lower incomes—who tend to be more likely to use modes other than driving, particularly transit—to afford a home that offers access to options.

The biggest change captured in Table 4.1 is the growth of working from home. The share of people working from home increased by a relative 25% between 2010 and 2019—double the growth in transit, which is the next-fastest-growing mode in the region—and as of 2019 there were almost as many people in the region working from home as there were taking transit to work. Furthermore, the data shown above only captures people who work from home full time; if it accounted for people who work from home a few days per week it would show an even larger percentage of people teleworking.

It is important to note that the data shown above only capture commute trips. These trips make up less than 30% of all trips in the region, but since commutes are often time-sensitive, longer-distance trips they account for a significant share of congestion and vehicle miles traveled. Metro’s travel surveys find that people are significantly more likely to walk and carpool and less likely to drive alone or take transit when taking non-commute trips than they are when commuting.

### **Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on travel**

The data discussed above highlights how slowly transportation behavior often changes. However, major events like recessions and natural disasters can have immediate and drastic impacts on how people travel, and it can take a while for conditions to stabilize afterward. The COVID-19 pandemic that began in March 2020 was just such an event. Even though the federal government has now declared the COVID-19 public health emergency over, offices and hotels are still emptier than they were before the pandemic, and the impacts of the pandemic are still rippling through the economy and the transportation system.

The RTP is a plan for the next 20 years. Using pre-pandemic data to assess needs allows the RTP to focus on the long-term demographic and economic changes that shaped the region’s growth over the past several decades, and that are likely to continue to determine how the region grows in the future. Most of the data in this chapter is from 2020 or before. 2020 is the base year for the 2023 RTP update, is often the most recent year for which data are available.

Many aspects of life and travel have already returned to their “normal” pre-pandemic state, while others are trending that way. It’s possible that some of the impacts of the pandemic will be so long-lasting that they lead to a “new normal” somewhere between conditions at the peak of the pandemic and those beforehand. Considering this possibility—which begins with understanding how transportation patterns have continued to evolve since the pandemic<sup>2</sup>—helps the RTP be more resilient under different potential futures. Figure 4.1 below shows how travel demand changed for transit and on different types of streets during the year following the pandemic.

---

<sup>2</sup> Most data in this section comes from Metro’s Emerging Transportation Trends Study, which can be found at: <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/public-projects/2023-regional-transportation-plan/research>

**Figure 4.1: Trip volumes by mode and by facility type, indexed to February 2020 levels, February 2020-2021 (PBOT freight route and arterial count data; ODOT throughway count data; TriMet transit ridership performance reports; data were compiled in April 2021<sup>3</sup>)**




All different types of travel shown fell during the initial months of the pandemic, but some fell more steeply and/or recovered more slowly than others. Trips on freight routes fell the least and recovered most quickly, potentially because goods kept moving during the pandemic and many freight routes also connect workers to jobs that remained in-person during the pandemic. Throughway trips recovered to 80% of pre-pandemic levels by May 2020, and then continued to fluctuate, which could reflect normal seasonal changes in travel demand, extreme weather events, and/or the spread of new COVID variants. Arterial travel appeared to be recovering less slowly, but the data shown only covers the first half-year of the pandemic.

Metro collected data for a set of throughways, arterials and transit routes that reflect key corridors in the region. Figure 4.2 below shows the results. Changes in throughway volumes are shown in yellow, changes in arterial volumes are shown in blue, and changes in transit ridership are shown in red.

<sup>3</sup> This figure, as well as some of the other data in this section, reflects the underlying availability of source data at the time of compilation. Some of this data comes from limited-duration collection and reporting efforts that agencies undertook when the pandemic began to understand its impact.

**Figure 4.2: Weekday vehicle and transit volume changes, October 2019–October 2021 (ODOT throughway count data; Streetlight arterial volume data; TriMet transit ridership by route data)**



Average daily throughway trips across the study locations decreased by 5% between October 2019 and October 2021, while arterial trips declined by 14% and transit ridership fell by 41%. In almost every location studied, arterial volumes decreased more significantly than throughway volumes. Transit volumes fell particularly significantly in locations closer to the center of the region.

These findings are consistent with research about the pandemic’s broader impacts on transportation, which has found that teleworking reduces vehicle trips and miles traveled, as well as transit ridership, particularly near job centers. Transportation agencies in the region are already responding to these dynamics—for example, TriMet’s recent Forward Together concept<sup>4</sup> realigns transit service to focus on routes that have maintained ridership through the pandemic and that serve people with low incomes, who were more likely to continue to rely on transit over the past several years. If teleworking rates remain high, it would likely lead to slightly lower levels

<sup>4</sup> <https://trimet.org/forward/>

of VMT per capita and transit use than the region would otherwise experience, all other things being equal.

### 4.1.2 System completeness

Meeting Mobility goals depends on providing a variety of seamless and well-connected travel modes so that people have multiple options for making trips.

Table 4.2 below summarizes the completeness of different regional modal networks, using the planned networks developed during the 2018 RTP. This is an initial analysis, conducted at the outset of the RTP process, to identify network gaps and issues that many transportation agencies sought to address through the investments described in Chapter 6 of the RTP. Chapter 7 contains an updated analysis of system completeness that describes how these investments, in combination with the existing network, make progress toward completing the planned networks included in the 2023 RTP. These planned networks are based on extensive analyses of network conditions and deficiencies as of July 2022, as well as relevant policies and performance/design standards that apply across the region.<sup>5</sup> This table also reports on the completeness of the bicycle and pedestrian networks<sup>6</sup> near transit stations and along the arterials, which helps people make safe multimodal trips. Completing active transportation networks in Equity Focus Areas (EFAs) is a priority under the RTP’s Equity policies, and completing networks in 2040 centers and employment/industrial areas is important to supporting a Thriving Economy—see those sections for a discussion of bike/ped system completeness in those specific communities.

**Table 4.2: System completeness by modal network and location within the region (2018 RTP networks, RLIS data and 2022 partner agency data)**

Network	Total planned miles	Number of miles completed	Percent of miles completed
<b>Region-wide</b>			
Transit network	1,460	788	54%
Pedestrian network	1,040	597	57%
Bicycle network	1,149	626	55%
Trail network	560	245	44%
Motor vehicle network	1,171	1,146	98%
<b>Near transit</b>			
Pedestrian network	837	539	64%
Bicycle network	881	538	61%
<b>Along arterials</b>			
Pedestrian network	725	414	57%

<sup>5</sup> For further information, see the [Regional Transit Strategy](#), the [Regional Active Transportation Plan](#), the [Regional Trail System Plan](#), and forthcoming updates to the Regional Mobility Policy.

<sup>6</sup> Metro distinguishes between on-street bicycle and pedestrian gaps in facilities like bike lanes and sidewalks and off-street bike/ped gaps in facilities like trails. On-street facilities are generally needed to provide good active transportation connections in centers, near transit, and along arterials, whereas off-street facilities provide longer-distance connections between these areas. Table 4.2 focuses on the on-street bike/ped network.

Network	Total planned miles	Number of miles completed	Percent of miles completed
Bicycle network	619	412	66%

Overall, the planned motor vehicle network is much more complete than the other modal networks. Consistent with the 2040 Growth Concept, the active transportation networks are generally more complete near transit. However, the fact that the pedestrian network along arterials is not significantly more complete than it is in the rest of the region is a concern given that 77% of pedestrian crashes occur on arterials.

However, several important gaps remain in these areas. The maps below identify these gaps by comparing the regional visions (i.e., planned systems) for these networks—which are based on extensive coordination with agency partners and on analysis of transportation and land use data—to the facilities that are on the ground today in order to identify gaps in the system.


Figure 4.3 below shows gaps in the transit network where planned transit has not yet been built. The map differentiates between gaps in frequent (thick lines) and regular (thin lines) transit service, and between gaps in the financially constrained network, which the region has identified funding to complete (green), and gaps in the strategic network, which the region has not yet identified funding to complete (blue). It also shows the location of existing regular and frequent service (orange lines). All of this information is overlaid with Equity Focus Areas (blue cross-hatching) to highlight how the current and planned network serves these communities that particularly need improved transit service (see the Equity section for more details on transit-related Equity needs).

This page intentionally left blank.




# Regional Transit Network Gaps

- Existing Regional Transit Network
  - Regular service
  - Frequent service
- Gap in Regional Transit Network (Financially-constrained)
  - Regular service
  - Frequent service
- Gap in Regional Transit Network (Vision)
  - Regular Service
  - Frequent service
- Equity focus area
- Station community
- Urban center
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area



See inset map




**2023**  
**REGIONAL**  
**TRANSPORTATION**  
**PLAN**


11/30/23




### 1. Forest Grove-Cornelius-Hillsboro area




### 2. Hillsboro-Aloha-Beaverton-Tigard area




### 3. Sherwood-Tigard-Tualatin-Wilsonville area




### 4. Lake Oswego-Milwaukie-Oregon City area



### 5. Hollywood-Gateway-Rockwood area



### 6. Fairview-Wood Village-Troutdale-Gresham



### Legend

- Existing Regional Transit Network
  - Regular service
  - Frequent service
- Gap in Regional Transit Network (Financially-constrained)
  - Regular service
  - Frequent service
- Gap in Regional Transit Network (Vision)
  - Regular Service
  - Frequent service
- Equity focus area
- Station community
- Urban center
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area

The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's RLIS to represent elements of the 2023 Regional Transportation Plan adopted as part of Metro Ordinance No. 23-1496. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors are appreciated.













Filling the gaps in the frequent transit system (thick green lines) are particularly important to meeting the region's Climate goals. The 2018 RTP relied on a planned increase in frequent transit service to meet GHG reduction targets, and the thick green lines indicate routes where this transit has yet to be implemented. These gaps are distributed over most of the more populated parts of the region, and there are large concentrations of them in East Portland and the Orenco/Bethany/Aloha area.


Figure 4.4 and Figure 4.5 show gaps in the regional pedestrian and bicycle systems. Completed facilities are shown in purple or green; gaps are shown in red. The maps distinguish between gaps in on-street facilities like sidewalks and bike lanes (darker shades) and gaps in off-street facilities like trails (lighter shades). Both the pedestrian and bicycle networks are overlaid with urban centers identified in the 2040 growth concept since RTP policies direct pedestrian and bicycle investments toward centers of activity where short distances between destinations make it easy to travel on foot. As noted above, we encourage readers to look at these maps in detail. Pedestrians and bicyclists are vulnerable users of the transportation system, and even a small gap in the network can make an entire trip feel unsafe and/or inconvenient.

This page intentionally left blank.




# Regional Pedestrian Network Gaps

-  Regional pedestrian network (on-street)
-  Gap in regional pedestrian network (on-street)
-  Regional pedestrian network (off-street)
-  Gap in regional pedestrian network (off-street)
-  Pedestrian district
-  Industrial area
-  Employment area
-  County boundary
-  Urban growth boundary
-  Metropolitan planning area







### 1. Forest Grove-Cornelius-Hillsboro area



### 2. Hillsboro-Aloha-Beaverton-Tigard area




### 3. Sherwood-Tigard-Tualatin-Wilsonville area




### Legend

- (dotted lines are proposed projects and do not identify specific alignments)
- Regional pedestrian network (on-street)
- Gap in regional pedestrian network (on-street)
- Regional pedestrian network (off-street)
- Gap in regional pedestrian network (off-street)
- Pedestrian district
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area


### 4. Lake Oswego-Milwaukie-Oregon City area



### 5. Hollywood-Gateway-Rockwood area



### 6. Fairview-Wood Village-Troutdale-Gresham




The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's RLIS to represent elements of the 2023 Regional Transportation Plan adopted as part of Metro Ordinance No. 23-1496. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors are appreciated.




# Regional Bike Network Gaps

- Regional bike network (on-street)
- Gap in regional bike network (on-street)
- Regional bike network (off-street)
- Gap in regional bike network (off-street)
- Bicycle district
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area




See inset map







### 1. Forest Grove-Cornelius-Hillsboro area



### 2. Hillsboro-Aloha-Beaverton-Tigard area



### 3. Sherwood-Tigard-Tualatin-Wilsonville area




### Legend


(dotted lines are proposed projects and do not identify specific alignments)

- Regional bike network (on-street)
- Gap in regional bike network (on-street)
- Regional bike network (off-street)
- Gap in regional bike network (off-street)
- Bicycle district
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area


### 4. Lake Oswego-Milwaukie-Oregon City area



### 5. Hollywood-Gateway-Rockwood area



### 6. Fairview-Wood Village-Troutdale-Gresham



The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's RLIS to represent elements of the 2023 Regional Transportation Plan adopted as part of Metro Ordinance No. 23-1496. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors are appreciated.



Both the bicycle and pedestrian networks are generally more complete in the region’s urban centers, which is consistent with RTP policies that direct transportation investments to support implementation of the 2040 growth concept. But even within those centers there are plenty of small gaps that hinder people’s ability to walk and bike—and that can also impact transit use and the economy. Walking is the most primary form of transportation. Whether an entire trip is done on foot or using a wheelchair or similar mobility device, people must walk for at least a part of every trip, even when the rest of the trip takes place on transit, in a vehicle or on a bicycle. Pedestrian activity thrives where the pedestrian facilities are well connected, safe and attractive—meaning well lit, free of debris and in good repair—and where there are frequent protected crossings. A 2022 PSU-Metro study found that pedestrian facilities also had a positive economic effect on surrounding communities.<sup>7</sup>

Closing the gaps shown above can be a relatively low-cost way to complete critical connections in areas that are already generally well-suited for walking and bicycling. There are larger bicycle and pedestrian gaps between urban centers and at the edges of the region, many of which are on the trail system. Closing these gaps has the potential to transform how people travel in communities where most trips are by car, especially when pedestrian projects are accompanied by complimentary investments in transit and community development.

Figure 4.6 below shows gaps in the regional trail network in red and completed trail segments in green, as well as the same urban centers that are included as overlays in the bicycle and pedestrian maps above. Trails are long-distance, high-quality bicycle and pedestrian facilities that provide connect regional centers, and they often pass through natural areas and/or include landscaping and natural features.

---

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/active-transportation-return-investment-study>


This page intentionally left blank.



# Regional Trail Network Gaps

- Existing Regional Trail
- Gap in Regional Trail
- Water Trail
- Bicycle/pedestrian district
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area

The Regional Trails System Plan was developed by Metro's Parks and Nature Department and adopted by the Metro Council. Not all trails identified in the Regional Trails System Plan are included in the 2023 RTP network maps.




## 2023 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN




11/30/23




### 1. Forest Grove-Cornelius-Hillsboro area



### 2. Hillsboro-Aloha-Beaverton-Tigard area




### 3. Sherwood-Tigard-Tualatin-Wilsonville area




### Legend

- (dotted lines are proposed projects and do not identify specific alignments)
- Regional trail network
- Gap in regional trail network
- Water trail
- Bicycle/pedestrian district
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area


### 4. Lake Oswego-Milwaukie-Oregon City area



### 5. Hollywood-Gateway-Rockwood area



### 6. Fairview-Wood Village-Troutdale-Gresham



The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's RLIS to represent elements of the 2023 Regional Transportation Plan adopted as part of Metro Ordinance No. 23-1496. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors are appreciated.



Trails are also part of the bicycle and pedestrian networks shown above, and this map underscores how filling many of the longer-distance gaps shown above depends upon completing the regional trail system.


Figure 4.7 shows the planned motor vehicle network by facility type, including planned facilities that have not yet been built, which are shown in dashed lines. As the map below shows, the network is largely built out.

This page intentionally left blank.




# Regional Motor Vehicle Network

- Throughway
- - - - Throughway (planned)
- Major arterial
- - - - Major arterial (planned)
- Minor arterial
- - - - Minor arterial (planned)
- Throughway outside UGB
- - - - Arterial outside UGB
- Urban center
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area



See inset map




**2023**  
**REGIONAL**  
**TRANSPORTATION**  
**PLAN**


Source: Metro  
 11/30/23




### 1. Forest Grove-Cornelius-Hillsboro area



### 2. Hillsboro-Aloha-Beaverton-Tigard area




### 3. Sherwood-Tigard-Tualatin-Wilsonville area




### Legend

- (dotted lines are proposed projects and do not identify specific alignments)
- Throughway
- - - Throughway (planned)
- Major arterial
- - - Major arterial (planned)
- Minor arterial
- - - Minor arterial (planned)
- Throughway outside UGB
- Arterial outside UGB
- - - Arterial outside UGB (planned)
- Urban center
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area

### 4. Lake Oswego-Milwaukie-Oregon City area



### 5. Hollywood-Gateway-Rockwood area



### 6. Fairview-Wood Village-Troutdale-Gresham



The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's RLIS to represent elements of the 2023 Regional Transportation Plan adopted as part of Metro Ordinance No. 23-1496. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors are appreciated.



### 4.1.3 VMT per capita

Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita measures how much the average person in the Portland region drives each day. Many transportation agencies in the region use VMT per capita to measure progress toward creating vibrant communities and providing multimodal travel options. All other things being equal, VMT per capita tends to be lower in compact communities with a mix of destinations and good access to transit and other options.<sup>8</sup> As discussed at the beginning of this section, the Regional Mobility Policy establishes VMT per capita as a critical performance measure for Mobility, and the State has also established VMT per capita as the key metric used in determining whether the RTP meets its climate targets. See the Climate section for information on historical, current, and projected future levels of VMT in the region.

### 4.1.4 Throughway travel speed reliability

The regional mobility policy in Chapter 3 of the 2023 RTP identifies *travel speed* on throughways as one of three mobility performance measures. The other two measures—*system completeness* and *vehicle miles traveled per capita*—are discussed above and in the climate section, respectively.


Development of the regional mobility policy began in 2019 through a joint effort of Metro and the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). In late 2022, JPACT and the Metro Council accepted the draft mobility policies and directed further development of the accompanying performance measures as part of completing the 2023 RTP.

The throughway performance measure and thresholds aim to identify future transportation needs on region's throughways using travel speed as a proxy for reliability. The policy defines a minimum throughway performance threshold of no more than four hours per weekday with travel speeds below 35 miles per hour on controlled-access freeways (e.g., I-5, I-84, I-205, I-405, US 26 and OR 217) or 20 miles per hour on signalized highways(e.g., OR 99E, US 30, OR 212) designated in Figure 3.8. If average speeds fall below the relevant speed threshold for more than a total of four hours in a day, it indicates the system is failing at that location and a transportation need exists. Figure 4.8 shows current throughway reliability results using 2019 weekday speed data collected via the Regional Integrated Transportation Information System (RITIS) platform.

---

<sup>8</sup> <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/12747/driving-and-the-built-environment-the-effects-of-compact-development>

**Figure 4.8: 2019 throughway travel speed reliability performance (2019 RITIS data)**



In 2019, a total of 38 miles (13% of the region’s throughway network) did not meet the mobility policy threshold for throughways. More information about the methodology and detailed results for all segments are provided in Appendix E.

#### 4.1.5 Transit frequency

Completing a high-quality transit network is critical to meeting regional Mobility goals. Half of all trips are over three miles, and these trips account for the majority of VMT.<sup>9</sup> Transit is the mode that is best-suited to provide a climate-friendly and affordable alternative to driving for these longer-distance trips. And transit is the most useful when it provides fast, convenient, and accessible transit connections between activity centers. Figure 4.9 below highlights communities that have the densities necessary to support frequent transit<sup>10</sup> (orange) and compares their


<sup>9</sup> <https://www.bikeleague.org/content/national-household-travel-survey-short-trips-analysis>

<sup>10</sup> The High Capacity Transit and Regional Transit Strategies specify a threshold of 5 households or 15 jobs per acre for communities served by frequent transit. In order to map both jobs and housing at the same scale, Figure 4.9 combines jobs and housing into a single measure of activity density (jobs plus residents per acre) and uses a threshold of 12.5 jobs and/or residents per acre to identify communities that support frequent transit. The average household in the region includes 2.5 people, so 5 households per acre is equivalent to 12.5 residents per acre.



location with 2020 frequent transit service (i.e., lines with peak headways of 15 minutes, shown in blue). It also shows EFAs in light blue cross-hatching (see the Equity section for additional discussion of this map).

**Figure 4.9: Map of high-frequency transit (headways of less than 15 minutes) and transit-supportive communities (12.5 or more people and/or jobs per acre), 2020 (Metro regional travel model and distributed growth forecast)**



If transit service is well-coordinated with land use, this map should show purple lines connecting most of the orange/red clusters of high density. This is the case in much, but not all, of the region, particularly in the south and west and on north/south corridors in the east side of the region.

#### 4.1.6 Access to destinations

Measuring how many destinations people can access via transit and automobile within a given travel time is a common way of understanding the overall utility of transit and driving. The RTP aims to increase access to destinations, particularly for transit. A truly multimodal transportation system is one in which people who travel by transit can reach the same number of jobs via transit within a given travel time as they can via automobile. Table 4.3 below compares accessibility via transit and automobile during peak hours and other times of the day. This analysis uses a 45-minute travel time to measure transit access and 30-minute travel times to measure automobile

access,<sup>11</sup> which accounts for the time needed for people to walk between their origins/destination and their car/transit stop and transfer between different transit routes, etc.

**Table 4.3: Percent of jobs accessible by driving and by transit by time of day, 2020 (Metro travel model and land use data)**

	Percent of jobs accessible within...	
	... a 30-minute drive	...a 45-minute transit trip
During rush hour	41%	7%
Outside of rush hour	46%	6%

The good news is that driving offers good access to jobs throughout the region—the average resident can reach almost half of the region’s job within a 30-minute commute. The challenge to creating a multimodal system is that driving offers much better access than taking transit does. Across all times of day, people can reach five to ten times as many destinations by auto as they can by driving.

---

<sup>11</sup> These travel times were recommended by the 2018 Transportation Equity Working Group to account for the fact that transit trips are typically longer than automobile trips.

## 4.2 SAFETY

The RTP establishes a Vision Zero goal for the Portland region to eliminate traffic-related deaths and severe injuries by 2035. Safety analysis for the draft needs assessment is based on the most recently available data. To track trends over time, most of the analysis uses a five-year average of crash data because of the random nature of crashes.

Key findings from the Safety needs assessment include:

- From 2016 through 2020, 2,814 people were killed or experienced a life-changing severe injury from a traffic crash in the greater Portland region, an average of 563 people per year.
- Traffic fatalities in the Portland region have been increasing for users of all modes, except for people bicycling. Severe injury crashes are also increasing, though not as dramatically as fatal crashes.
- Pedestrians experience a disproportionately high number of traffic deaths.
- Fatal and severe crashes are concentrated at a small number of corridors and intersections, which the RTP refers to as High Injury Corridors and High Injury Intersections.
- There is a high level of overlap between the updated 2023 High Injury Corridors and those identified in the 2018 RTP.
- About 40% of traffic fatalities occur on state-owned highways.
- Black, American Indian and Alaska Native people experience a disproportionate number of traffic deaths.
- Three quarters of serious pedestrian and bicycle crashes, and 65% of all serious crashes, occur in areas identified as Equity Focus Areas.
- Safety issues are a concern for children walking and bicycling to school.

Since the 2018 RTP was adopted, city, county, regional and state partners been developing and implementing safety action plans. Metro's two-year progress report on the Regional Transportation Strategy<sup>12</sup> highlighted this work and identified actions near-term actions to make more progress on improving safety in the region. While it is discouraging to see traffic fatalities and severe injuries increase as agencies and community partners work to address safety, it often takes a while for the impact of Vision Zero policies to become apparent. Countries and cities that have adopted the Safe System Approach and committed to achieving zero serious crashes typically begin to see substantial results in about 10 years, reducing traffic fatalities upwards of 40-60%.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> June 2021. <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/sites/default/files/2021/08/03/RTSS-progress-report-20210603.pdf>

<sup>13</sup> Road Safety Annual Report 2020, International Transport Forum: [https://www.itf-oecd.org/sites/default/files/docs/irtad-road-safety-annual-report-2020\\_0.pdf](https://www.itf-oecd.org/sites/default/files/docs/irtad-road-safety-annual-report-2020_0.pdf)

### 4.2.1 Historical crash analysis

The RTP includes ambitious targets to reduce fatal and serious injury crashes by 16% by 2020, by 50% by 2025, and to zero by 2035, and identifies a trajectory for the intervening years that allows the region to meet these targets. Table 4.4 summarizes regional progress toward these performance measures.

**Table 4.4: Federal Safety Performance Measures for Traffic Fatalities and Serious Injuries, 2016-2020 (Oregon Department of Transportation crash data analyzed by Metro)**

Performance Measure	5-year rolling averages		
	2011-2015 Baseline	2016-2020 Target	2016-2020 Actual
Number of fatalities	62	52	93
Fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled	0.6	0.5	0.9
Number of serious injuries	458	384	512
Serious injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled	4.5	3.6	4.8
Number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries	113	95	129

The region is not on track to meet its targets. In fact, across all the measures summarized in Table 4.4, the region’s streets have gotten less safe since Metro established this goal and began collecting baseline data. These findings are consistent with an interim Safety Performance report that Metro published in 2021,<sup>14</sup> which was based on 2019 data. Figure 4.10 shows more detail on recent traffic fatalities in the region, showing past data alongside projected trends and Vision Zero targets.

<sup>14</sup> <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/sites/default/files/2021/03/04/Metro-safety-annual-performance-report-2015-2019.pdf>

**Figure 4.10: Five-year average rates of fatal crashes, 2007-2020, with trendlines and Vision Zero targets (ODOT crash data, analyzed by Metro staff)**





Figure 4.11 shows a similar view of safety data, but it captures both serious injury and fatal crashes and breaks out results by mode to provide more detail on how rising crash rates are affecting different travelers.


**Figure 4.11: Five-year average rates of fatal and serious injury crashes by mode, 2007-2020, with trendlines and Vision Zero targets (ODOT crash data analyzed by Metro)**



Serious crashes in the Portland region have been increasing for users of all modes except for people bicycling. Pedestrian crashes are increasing at an especially high rate.

As Figure 4.12 shows, the increase in regional fatalities is driven by an increase Multnomah County. Fatal crashes have remained relatively flat in Clackamas and Washington Counties. The fact that there are more crashes in Multnomah County than in Washington and Clackamas is not surprising; half of the passenger miles traveled in the region take place in Multnomah County, and higher travel volumes mean greater exposure to crashes, all other things being equal. However, the recent increase in fatalities is concerning given that the proportion of travel occurring in Multnomah County does not appear to have increased during that same period. Local analysis is critical to understanding how local conditions, including traffic volumes, percent of people walking and bicycling, and other factors influence traffic safety.

**Figure 4.12: Annual fatalities by county, 2016-2021 (ODOT preliminary fatal crash data)**



Speed, alcohol, and/or drugs continue to be the most common contributing factors in severe and fatal crashes in the region. During 2016-2020, speed was involved in 35% of fatal and 16% of severe injury crashes, and alcohol or other drugs were involved in 38% of fatal and 14% of severe injury crashes. However, each crash captured in the data above is complex and involves multiple contributing factors and circumstances, including traffic exposure and built environment variables.


Preliminary analysis reveals many safety issues near the region’s public elementary, middle and high schools. Within a mile buffer around the average school, there are 8.1 miles of dangerous

streets and 38 of fatal, severe, or bicycle and pedestrian injury crashes. One-quarter of the region’s schools are surrounded by streets with mostly incomplete sidewalks.<sup>15</sup>

### 4.2.2 Crashes by mode

Crashes have different impacts on different users of the transportation system. In general, vehicle crashes are more frequent, because most people in the region drive for most of their trips, but crashes that involve people walking, and riding bicycles and motorcycles are more severe, because their bodies are more exposed. Figure 4.13 compares fatal crashes by mode to all crashes by mode.

**Figure 4.13: All crashes and fatal crashes by mode, 2016-2020 (ODOT data analyzed by Metro)**



As this chart illustrates, traffic deaths disproportionately impact people who walk, bicycle and ride a motorcycle. Pedestrians experience the most disproportionate impact. Auto-only crashes comprise 94% of all crashes and 41% of all fatal crashes, whereas pedestrian crashes make up 2% of all crashes and 38% of all fatal crashes. In other words, pedestrians who are involved in a crash are much more likely to die—26 times more likely—than non-pedestrians. Pedestrian traffic deaths are steadily increasing, are the most common type of fatal crash, and have the highest severity of any crash type. This trend is being seen across the country and is attributed in part to vehicles getting larger over the years. Designing safe streets, particularly on arterials, is critical to pedestrian safety; 77% of serious pedestrian crashes occur on arterials.

### 4.2.3 High Injury Corridors

A majority of the serious and fatal crashes in the region, as well as the crashes that involve vulnerable users,<sup>16</sup> consistently occur on a small number of roads. Metro focuses its analysis on

<sup>15</sup> i.e., less than 50% of the sidewalks within one mile are complete. For the purposes of this analysis, a street with a sidewalk on either one or both sides counts as “complete.”

<sup>16</sup> When defining High Injury Corridors and Intersections, Metro accounts for pedestrian and bicycle injuries, which are particularly likely to be severe because these travelers’ bodies are exposed to traffic. Fatal and severe injury crashes are given a weight of ten and other injury crashes for pedestrians and bicyclists are given a weight

High Injury Corridors, which are the corridors where 60% of these crashes occur, and High Injury Intersections, which are the 5% of intersections with the highest rates of these crashes. Figure 4.14 shows High Injury Corridors (orange lines) and Intersections (those that are in the top 5% for severe injury rates are marked in pink; those that are in the top 1% are marked in red). There is a high level of overlap between the updated High Injury Corridors and those identified in the 2018 RTP.



---

of three. Pedestrian and bicycle involved crashes are less frequent, but compared to vehicular crashes, they are significantly more likely to result in death or serious injury (this is true for motorcycle crashes as well, hence the need for consideration of separating out these crashes in future analysis). This weighting factor reflects the higher degree of risk involved in bicycle and pedestrian crashes. Metro's methodology provides a high-level, planning level analysis that compares all roads in the region, appropriate for identifying and prioritizing needs at the regional scale. Supplemental local analysis, including identification of safety corridors at the county and city geography, should also be used to identify needs and priorities in the RTP.




# Regional High Injury Corridors and Intersections

- ◆ Top 1% high injury intersection
- ◆ Top 5% high injury intersection
- High injury corridor
- People of color equity focus area
- Limited english equity focus area
- Low income equity focus area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area



See inset map



0 5 10 Miles

Sources: ODOT and Metro


**2023**  
**REGIONAL**  
**TRANSPORTATION**  
**PLAN**

Metro


11/30/23




### 1. Forest Grove-Cornelius-Hillsboro area



### 2. Hillsboro-Aloha-Beaverton-Tigard area




### 3. Sherwood-Tigard-Tualatin-Wilsonville area




### Legend


- ◆ Top 1% high injury intersection
- ◆ Top 5% high injury intersection
- High injury corridor
- People of color
- Limited english
- Low income
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area




### 4. Lake Oswego-Milwaukie-Oregon City area



### 5. Hollywood-Gateway-Rockwood area



### 6. Fairview-Wood Village-Troutdale-Gresham



The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's RLIS to represent elements of the 2023 Regional Transportation Plan adopted as part of Metro Ordinance No. 23-1496. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors are appreciated.



While there are typically many factors that contribute to the serious crashes that make these corridors unsafe, not yielding right-of-way is a predominant cause of serious crashes for most of the corridors. Disregard for traffic signals is another leading cause. Pedestrian crashes are a leading serious crash type in all high injury corridors. Other top serious crash types are turning movement and angle.

Roadways with the highest number of serious crashes per mile between from 2016 to 2020 are shown in Table 4.5. These roadways have many features in common:

- All are within Equity Focus Areas.
- All are within Multnomah County except for Tualatin Valley Highway.
- All are transit corridors except for East Burnside Street.
- All are arterials with 3 to 5 lanes and posted speeds of 30 mph or higher, except for East Burnside Street, which is posted at 20 mph.

**Table 4.5: Roadways within the MPA with two or more serious traffic injuries per mile, 2016-2020 (ODOT crash data analyzed by Metro)**

Roadway	From	To	Length (miles)	Annual serious injuries per mile
181st Ave.	NE Sandy Blvd.	SE Yamhill St.	2.12	3
Division St.	SE 79th Ave.	SE 178th St.	4.97	2
Powell Blvd.	SE 84th Ave.	SE 168th Ave.	4.38	2
Burnside Rd.	SE 199th Ave./NE Eleven Mile Ave.	E Powell Blvd./SE Powell Valley Rd.	3.10	2
82nd Ave.	SE Main St.	SE Crystal Springs Blvd.	3.42	2
S 122nd Ave.	E. Burnside St.	SE Foster Rd.	3.24	2
Glisan St.	NE 58th Ave.	NE 150th Pl.	4.65	2
N 82nd Ave.	NE Lombard St.	SE Salmon St.	3.37	2
Outer Stark St.	SE 153rd Ave.	NE Hood Ave.	3.88	2
Inner Stark St.	SE Thorburn St.	SE 151st Ave.	3.90	2
Grand Ave.	NE Hancock St.	SE Powell Blvd.	2.66	2
Weidler St.	N. Broadway	NE 24th Ave.	1.37	2
N 122nd Ave.	NE Marine Dr.	NE Davis St.	3.14	2
Tualatin Valley Hwy	SW 214th Ave.	SW 139th Way	3.99	2
E Burnside S.	E 2nd Ave.	E Gilham Ave.	3.52	2

The RTP recommends the use of proven safety countermeasures<sup>17</sup> to address High Injury Corridors and Intersections identified in Chapter 3 and locally identified safety needs. Local safety

<sup>17</sup> The Safety Division of the FHWA provides information on proven safety countermeasures at <https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/provencountermeasures/>



action plans describe in detail the projects that are needed to resolve safety issues at these locations and others identified by partner agencies.

## 4.3 EQUITY

RTP Equity Policy 3 directs Metro and its agency partners to “Prioritize transportation investments that eliminate transportation-related disparities and barriers for marginalized communities, with a focus on communities of color and people with low incomes.” Through extensive outreach, Metro has heard that these communities need fast, frequent, affordable, and reliable transit connections to key destinations and safer walking and biking infrastructure. The Needs Assessment evaluates equity through that lens and finds:

- The Portland region continues to grow more racially and ethnically diverse.
- The region is aging. The share of people 65 and older is growing while all other age groups are declining. However, people under 44 will continue to be in the majority.
- The COVID-19 impact had particularly severe and long-lasting impacts on people of color and workers with low incomes.
- Regional transportation agencies can advance equity by investing in transit service and safe biking and walking infrastructure in Equity Focus Areas (EFAs), which are communities with concentrations of people of color, people with low incomes, and people with limited English proficiency.
- The region has made significant progress in improving transit service and bike/ped infrastructure in EFAs, but not enough to address deep-seated inequities. Transit still offers much less access to destinations than driving does, and serious crashes are still concentrated in EFAs.

### 4.3.1 History of discriminatory planning in the Greater Portland region

The disparities described in this chapter are the result of specific decisions made over the years by governments, institutions, and the public to marginalize people of color and other groups. Many of these decisions had generational impacts that continue to contribute to the inequities we see today. Knowing this history is critical to fully understanding and resolving these disparities.<sup>18</sup>

Oregon has a unique history of passing laws that discriminate against Black people. In the 1840s and 50s, State legislative bodies passed a series of laws that made it illegal for Black people to live in Oregon, and Oregon was the only state with such laws in its constitution. These State policies, along with federal policies such as the Japanese Internment law of 1942, as well as a series of actions that the real estate industry and government agencies took to concentrate people of color in particular neighborhoods and disinvest in those neighborhoods, all contribute to the region’s history of discriminatory planning. Throughout the last century, people of color and people with lower incomes have been impacted by planning decisions that targeted struggling areas for development. Major roads and freeways were often built on top of already disadvantaged

---

<sup>18</sup> The information in this section is adapted from Metro’s Equitable Transportation Funding Research Report: <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/sites/default/files/2022/11/16/Equitable-Transportation-Funding-Research-Report-11142022.pdf>.




communities to avoid affecting wealthy, white neighborhoods. These decisions split neighborhoods, displaced families, permanently damaged communities, and even led to higher rates of air pollution and chronic illness.<sup>19</sup>

Figure 4.15 provides a visual timeline of discriminatory planning in the greater Portland region from the late 19<sup>th</sup> century to the present, and also chronicles more recent efforts to restore justice. In the graphic, gold circles reflect the shift away from discrimination and the beginning of a path toward equity.

---

<sup>19</sup> [Oregon Metro. \(2022\). "2023 Regional Transportation Plan Update: Work Plan."](#)

**Figure 4.15: Timeline of discriminatory planning and advancements toward equity in the Greater Portland region**





Beginning in the 1920s, local governments throughout the region used exclusionary zoning to prevent people of color from owning property in certain neighborhoods, was common practice in the greater Portland region.<sup>20</sup> The real estate industry— including realtors, bankers, appraisers, and landlords—also used redlining, discriminatory lending, and restrictive covenants to steer people of color toward certain neighborhoods and exclude them from others.<sup>21</sup> Local governments also used single-family zoning to support these practices by forcing multi-family development into segregated neighborhoods.<sup>22</sup> Agencies significantly increased the amount of land zoned for single-family housing throughout the 1930s, 1940s, and 1950s. By the end of this period, multi-family zones accounted for only 5 percent of residentially zoned lands. These practices created concentrated people of color and people with lower incomes in neighborhoods that were vulnerable to disinvestment, industrial uses, infrastructure development, and urban renewal plans.<sup>23</sup>

Urban renewal, whereby government agencies razed and redeveloped ‘blighted’ areas in their jurisdictions, swept the United States in the mid-twentieth century. Local governments used this power to implement sweeping redevelopments in marginalized, often Black, communities without consulting people who lived there. The new developments that were created through urban renewal took on many forms: transportation infrastructure, large-scale multi-family housing, event centers, parks, and office buildings, etc. The agencies who led these projects often systematically displaced the people who lived in these neighborhoods and bought out landowners for a fraction of their property’s value. Portland and many other cities across the U.S. have a long and well-documented history of urban renewal projects—including some that were approved by voters, such as the development of Memorial Coliseum in the heart of Portland’s Black community.<sup>24</sup>

Portland’s Albina neighborhood developed into a thriving business district after the population boom throughout World War II and became a haven and area of opportunity for Black people living in the city. This sudden population growth also led to the development of Vanport in North Portland, which was initially built to provide temporary housing for shipyard workers. Many of these workers were African American and were unable to find other suitable nearby housing. In 1948, Vanport was destroyed by a flood, taking numerous lives and forcing people who lived there to relocate, and many moved to Albina. In the 1950s, federal, state and local transportation agencies built the Interstate 5 freeway through Albina, and local governments razed other parts of Albina to build Memorial Coliseum and Emanuel Hospital, destroying homes and businesses, forcing displacement, and tearing the fabric of the neighborhood apart.

Exclusionary zoning and racial segregation still influence where people live and work today. Exclusive single-family zoning was eliminated in the majority of Oregon through the passing of

---

<sup>20</sup> [https://www.oregonencyclopedia.org/articles/blacks\\_in\\_oregon/#.Y0mqhXbMJPY](https://www.oregonencyclopedia.org/articles/blacks_in_oregon/#.Y0mqhXbMJPY)

<sup>21</sup> [Department of Land Conservation and Development. \(2022\). “Housing Choices \(House Bill 2001\).”](#)

<sup>22</sup> [Department of Land Conservation and Development. \(2022\). “Housing Choices \(House Bill 2001\).”](#)

<sup>23</sup> [Hughes, Jena. \(2019\). “Historical Context of Racist Planning.” \*Bureau of Planning and Sustainability\*.](#)


<sup>24</sup> [Killen, John. \(2015\). “Throwback Thursday: 60 years ago, Portland began urban renewal plan for South Auditorium district.” \*Oregon Live\*.](#)

House Bill 2001. As of June 2022, cities with a population over 25,000 and cities in the greater Portland region must allow duplexes, triplexes, quadplexes, cottage clusters, and townhouses in residential areas. Yet much still needs to be done to untangle the legacy of displacement and damage inflicted in years past. Even with the progress made since the late 1960s, the disproportionate impact of lack of transportation access to opportunities for people of color and people with low-income persists. Gentrification, population growth, and increasing demands on housing continue to threaten to further destabilize people of color and low-income communities. Implementing the recommendations in this report and continuing efforts to advance racial and income equity in future RTPs, plans, and programs, are critical to righting the wrongs of the past.<sup>25</sup>

### 4.3.2 Demographic and economic changes

People of color make up an increasing share of people who live in the region. The portion who identify as people of color has been increasing steadily over the past several decades; from under 1% in 1960 to 28% in 2020. Figure 4.16 shows how the racial and ethnic makeup of the region’s population changed between 2000 and 2020.

**Figure 4.16: Population by race and ethnicity<sup>26</sup> in the Portland region and surrounding counties,<sup>27</sup> 2000 and 2020 (U.S. Census)**



Over the 20-year time span captured in the figure above, the share of people in the region who identify as people of color grew from 18% nearly 28%. This change was driven primarily by

<sup>25</sup> Much of the existing academic literature and subsequent discussions are around the City of Portland, however the patterns of exclusion and discrimination are well established to have been rampant across the country, Oregon, and the greater Portland region.

<sup>26</sup> The U.S. Census uses different terms for race and ethnicity than Metro does. This figure uses the terms commonly used by Metro for brevity and consistency, but respondents defined themselves using the options presented by the Census, which include: White alone; Black or African American; Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander; Hispanic or Latino; American Indian and Alaska Native; and Other.


<sup>27</sup> For consistency with regional and state population forecasts, Metro uses a broader 7-county region (Clackamas, Clark, Columbia, Multnomah, Skamania, Washington, and Yamhill counties) in its demographic data.



growth among Latinos, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, as well as an increasing number of people who identify as “other.”<sup>28</sup>

Figure 4.17 shows Metro’s forecasts for how the share of population in different age groups will change between 2020 and 2040.

**Figure 4.17: Current and forecasted population by age cohort in the 7-county greater Portland region, 2020 and 2045 (MetroScope)**



Just like the national population, our region’s population is aging, and the share of people over 65 is projected to grow by 5%, while shares of all other age groups are declining. However, the two youngest age groups—people under 25 and people 25 to 44—are projected to remain the two largest age groups in the region. By 2040, close to 50% of the region’s population will either be under 25 or over 65. Though these two groups have very different transportation needs, they also have some important similarities—lower rates of commuting by auto, high proportions of people who cannot drive due to age or disability, and lower participation in the labor force, which means that their travel patterns are less likely to be driven by commuting.<sup>29</sup>

### 4.3.3 Inequities in housing and employment

The 2018 RTP undertook a wide-ranging review of data and research on equity, both nationally and in the Portland region, and highlighted several inequities in different marginalized groups’ access to housing and jobs.


<sup>28</sup> The Census Bureau increased the number of options for people to classify themselves as members of two or more races between 2000 and 2020. For the purposes of comparing data from 2020 with data from 2000, similar race/ethnicity categories were used as in 2000 – combining Asian people and Pacific Islanders – although the Census Bureau now differentiates between the two, and including people who identify as being part of two or more races in the “other” category.

<sup>29</sup> <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2020/acs/acs-45.pdf>

- People with low incomes and most people of color (with the exception of Asian Americans) and people with low incomes are significantly less likely to own a home than white people.
- People of color are being displaced to areas of the region that lack good access to transportation options, jobs, and other important destinations.
- People of color and people with low incomes can access fewer jobs within a typical commute distance than white people.

Many of these inequities were exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. The health impacts of the pandemic fell significantly upon the region’s Latino population, and its economic impacts were particularly damaging for people with low incomes—both workers, who were more likely to lose their jobs, and students, who experienced greater learning loss due to the pandemic. Significant disparities in access to jobs and housing persist. Figure 4.18 shows how homeownership rates are still much lower for most non-white racial and ethnic groups and for households earning below \$75,000 per year than they are for white people.

**Figure 4.18: Homeownership rates by race and income for Multnomah, Washington and Clackamas Counties, 2020 (American Community Survey)**




Public agencies are working to address these disparities by creating more affordable housing, supported by a regional affordable housing bond measure, which was passed by voters in 2018. The bond aims to fund the construction of 3,900 designated affordable housing units across the region, with a focus on providing homes for people of color. Though the bond measure represents significant progress in building affordable housing, it only provides a small portion of the roughly 48,000 units in the region that Metro estimates are necessary to meet the region’s needs.



Homeownership rates can affect how communities respond to the transportation projects that are the focus of the RTP. Some transportation projects—in particular, new light rail lines and bicycle/pedestrian trails—can potentially increase the value of adjacent properties. This benefits homeowners who live nearby, but it can create higher housing costs and displacement risks for people who rent. This means the groups shown as having low homeownership rates in Figure 4.18 are more likely to see new transportation investments as threatening their ability to remain in their communities.

The inequities created by the COVID-19 pandemic become very visible when comparing employment patterns for lower- and higher-income workers. Overall, the U.S. experienced historically high levels of unemployment in summer 2020, immediately following the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. By Spring 2022, the overall unemployment rate had fallen to levels that could be considered low even by pre-pandemic standards. However, this broad trend masks significant differences in the employment rate between workers with lower incomes and those with higher incomes. Figure 4.19 shows unemployment rates over the past three years for both workers who more than the median wage (approximately \$30 per hour, or \$60,000 per year) and workers who earn less.

**Figure 4.19: Regional employment rates for workers earning above and below the median wage (indexed to January 2020) January 2020 – August 2021 (Earnin, Intuit, Kronos and Paychex data, analyzed by Cambridge Systematics for the Commodities Movement Study)**



As of August 2021, the employment rate for workers in the Portland region who earned above the median wage had increased by 1.2% over pre-pandemic (January 2020) levels, whereas the employment rate for workers earning below the median wage fell by 29.8%. In other words, the pandemic opened up a 30-point employment gap between workers earning above the median and workers earning below the median wage.

#### 4.3.4 Transportation needs in Equity Focus Areas


RTP Equity Focus Areas were identified in Chapter 3 to guide transportation plans toward focusing on communities with the greatest needs, and to benefit as many people in need as possible, while accounting for regional growth and change. They highlight the communities in the region with the highest densities of people of color, people with low incomes, and people who speak limited English.

Figure 4.20 shows the updated Equity Focus Areas used in the 2023 RTP analysis, including which of the three populations included in the definition of EFAs are concentrated within each EFA, and uses shading to illustrate how these different populations overlap with each other. These EFAs are based on 2016-20 American Community Survey data (for income and English proficiency) and 2020 Census data (for race). Appendix M provides more detail on the data sources and calculations used to create and update EFAs.




This page intentionally left blank.

# Equity Focus Areas




Equity focus areas are based on census tracts that have populations above the regional average and over twice the regional density.

- People of color equity focus areas
- Limited english equity focus areas
- Low income equity focus areas







### 1. Forest Grove-Cornelius-Hillsboro area



### 2. Hillsboro-Aloha-Beaverton-Tigard area



### 3. Sherwood-Tigard-Tualatin-Wilsonville area



### Legend


Equity focus areas are based on census tracts that have populations above the regional average and over twice the regional density.

#### Equity Focus Areas


- People of color
- Limited english
- Low income

- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area


Overlapping equity focus areas




### 4. Lake Oswego-Milwaukie-Oregon City area



### 5. Hollywood-Gateway-Rockwood area



### 6. Fairview-Wood Village-Troutdale-Gresham



The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's RLIS to represent elements of the 2023 Regional Transportation Plan adopted as part of Metro Ordinance No. 23-1496. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors are appreciated.



EFA are located throughout the region, and there are large concentrations of all three EFA populations in East Portland and Multnomah County and along Tualatin Valley Highway in Washington County. These are largely the same areas that were highlighted during the 2018 RTP equity analysis.<sup>30</sup> Directing transportation investments—particularly projects designed to meet the needs of the people they serve—toward the EFAs that are highlighted above helps to meet this goal.

The equity policies in Chapter 3 of the RTP direct Metro and partner agencies to both learn more about marginalized people’s transportation needs<sup>31</sup> and also to act on what they learn.<sup>32</sup> Since 2018, Metro has conducted extensive outreach to people of color, people with low incomes, and other marginalized people to better understand their transportation needs through the development of the 2020 regional transportation funding measure, the Regional Mobility Policy update, and other processes, including the RTP update.<sup>33</sup> Metro has consistently heard that these communities need safer and more accessible travel options—specifically better transit service and safer streets for bicycling and walking, including:

- More fast, frequent and reliable transit service for all types of trips (including at off-peak travel times)
- More affordable transit that connects people to the places and things they need to thrive.
- Better conditions for walking and biking, including adequate street lighting, protected crossings and crossing signals, particularly to improve access to transit.
- Connected and separated walking and biking infrastructure.

### **Access to transit and to destinations**

Figure 4.21, which is discussed in more detail in the previous section on Mobility, shows where gaps in the regional transit network are located. These gaps show places where planned transit has not yet been built. The map differentiates between gaps in frequent (thick lines) and regular (thin lines) transit service, and between gaps in service that are based on the financially constrained network (i.e., gaps that the region currently has identified funding to complete, shown in green) and those that are based on the network vision (i.e., gaps that the region has not yet identified funding to complete, shown in purple). It overlays these gaps with Equity Focus Areas, which are shown in violet cross-hatching.

---

<sup>30</sup> See the Needs Assessment memo [that was shared with TPAC as part of the July 13, 2022 meeting packet](#) (beginning p. 14) for further discussion of how and why Equity Focus Areas changed as they were updated.

<sup>31</sup> Policy 5: “Use engagement and other methods to collect and assess data to understand the transportation-related disparities, barriers, needs and priorities of communities of color, people with low income and other historically marginalized communities.”

<sup>32</sup> Policy 3: “Prioritize transportation investments that eliminate transportation-related disparities and barriers for historically marginalized communities, with a focus on communities of color and people with low income.”

<sup>33</sup> <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/sites/default/files/2020/11/10/Historically-marginalized-communities-transportation-priorities-summary.pdf>




This page intentionally left blank.




# Regional Transit Network Gaps

- Existing Regional Transit Network
  - Regular service
  - Frequent service
- Gap in Regional Transit Network (Financially-constrained)
  - Regular service
  - Frequent service
- Gap in Regional Transit Network (Vision)
  - Regular Service
  - Frequent service
- Equity focus area
- Station community
- Urban center
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area



See inset map




**2023**  
**REGIONAL**  
**TRANSPORTATION**  
**PLAN**


11/30/23




### 1. Forest Grove-Cornelius-Hillsboro area




### 2. Hillsboro-Aloha-Beaverton-Tigard area




### 3. Sherwood-Tigard-Tualatin-Wilsonville area




### 4. Lake Oswego-Milwaukie-Oregon City area



### 5. Hollywood-Gateway-Rockwood area



### 6. Fairview-Wood Village-Troutdale-Gresham



### Legend

- Existing Regional Transit Network
  - Regular service
  - Frequent service
- Gap in Regional Transit Network (Financially-constrained)
  - Regular service
  - Frequent service
- Gap in Regional Transit Network (Vision)
  - Regular Service
  - Frequent service
- Equity focus area
- Station community
- Urban center
- Industrial area
- Employment area
- County boundary
- Urban growth boundary
- Metropolitan planning area


The information on this map was derived from digital databases on Metro's RLIS to represent elements of the 2023 Regional Transportation Plan adopted as part of Metro Ordinance No. 23-1496. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Metro cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. There are no warranties, expressed or implied, including the warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this product. However, notification of any errors are appreciated.



People who live in equity focus areas have told transportation agencies that they need frequent transit service where they live—i.e., where the thick green and purple lines shown in the figure above overlap with the Equity Focus Areas. Transportation agencies have planned this frequent service, but have not yet delivered on those plans. Completing these transit investments—particularly those shown in green, which can be built with available funds—would address pressing equity needs while also advancing mobility and climate outcomes.

Figure 4.22 below takes a different view of the transit system. Instead of using planned transit lines as a basis for identifying needs, Figure 4.22 highlights communities that have the densities necessary to support frequent transit<sup>34</sup> (orange) and compares their location with 2020 frequent transit service (i.e., lines with peak headways of 15 minutes, shown in blue). It shows EFAs in light blue cross-hatching.

**Figure 4.22: Map of high-frequency transit (headways of less than 15 minutes) and transit-supportive communities (12.5 or more people and/or jobs per acre), 2020 (Metro travel model, 2018 RTP transit network and distributed growth forecast)**



<sup>34</sup> The High Capacity Transit and Regional Transit Strategies specify a threshold of 5 households or 15 jobs per acre for communities served by frequent transit. In order to map both jobs and housing at the same scale. Figure 4.22 combines jobs and housing into a single measure of activity density (jobs plus residents per acre) and uses a threshold of 12.5 jobs and/or residents per acre to identify communities that support frequent transit. The average household in the region includes 2.5 people, so 5 households per acre is equivalent to 12.5 residents per acre.



People living within EFAs have said that they need better transit connections between their communities and their destinations. If these connections were in place, the map above would likely show purple lines connecting most of the orange/red clusters of high density within the light blue EFAs. This is the case in much of the east side of the region—though there are notable gaps on several north/south corridors—but not as much in EFAs on the west side of the region. This is in part because the built environment in East Portland and Multnomah County has many transit-supportive characteristics, such as a well-connected grid of arterials and relatively high-density residential areas. There may be further opportunities in the long term to better configure the transit network to benefit current and prospective transit riders who live in EFAs.

In addition to identifying where there are needs and opportunities to provide more equitable transit service, the RTP also examines whether the transit system provides the convenient and useful connections that people living in EFAs have asked for. Measuring how many destinations a traveler can access within a given travel time via different modes has been established as a best practice for understanding and comparing how useful different modes are for different groups of people. This analysis can answer two questions about transit equity.

**Does the transit system provide equitable service to marginalized people?** If so, people living in Equity Focus Areas should be able to reach the same number of other jobs (or more) as people living in other communities.

**Is transit a competitive alternative to driving?** Both community feedback and research stress that people of color and people with low incomes are more likely to rely on transit. It follows that an equitable transportation system is one in which people who travel by transit are not faced with longer, less convenient trips than people who drive—in other words, that people should be able to reach the same number of jobs (or more) via transit as they should via automobile in the same travel time. This is a challenging goal to meet given how built-out the road network is, but meeting this goal would have far-reaching benefits—not just for equity, but mobility and climate.


Figure 4.23 compares access to jobs between modes (transit versus auto) and community types (EFAs vs. non-EFAs) for the RTP base year of 2020.<sup>35</sup> Jobs are not just commute destinations—grocery stores, medical offices, and schools are also places of employment, so jobs are a proxy for many different types of destinations that draw many different types of trips.<sup>36</sup> Metro tested many different measures of access to jobs by income and to community places such as grocery stores, libraries, schools, medical offices, and community services and has found the same patterns in access to these important destinations as for access to all destinations. Similarly, Metro tested results for both peak and off-peak travel and found that off-peak results showed the same trends as the results for rush hour, which are shown below.

---

<sup>35</sup> This analysis uses a 45-minute travel time to measure transit access and 30-minute travel times to measure automobile access, which accounts for the time needed for people to walk between their origins/destination and their car/transit stop and transfer between different transit routes, etc.

<sup>36</sup> <https://ssti.us/wp-content/uploads/sites/1303/2020/12/Measuring-Accessibility-Final.pdf>

**Figure 4.23: Percent of jobs accessible by driving and by transit by community type, 2020 (Metro travel model, 2018 RTP transit network, and land use data)**



The results above show that people living in EFAs have significantly better access to destinations via transit (and to a lesser extent, via driving) than people living in other communities. This is likely because many communities of color and much of the region’s naturally occurring affordable housing stock are located in regional centers that have long been key points in the transit network, but it also reflects more recent efforts by transit agencies to focus on serving marginalized communities even as these communities relocate within the region.


Figure 4.23 also shows the extent to which driving offers better access than taking transit does. Across all communities and all times of day, people can reach five to ten times as many destinations by auto as they can by driving. Though the Portland region has an extensive transit system relative to many other Metro areas, significant parts of the region are not served by transit and (as shown in Figure 4.22 above) do not have the land uses necessary to support frequent transit. Extending and improving transit service can help improve transit access to destinations, and land use changes that create clusters of activity that support high-quality transit can also make a big difference.



## Safe conditions for walking and bicycling

Other than the need for better transit service for EFAs, the main need that people of color and people with low incomes have expressed in Metro’s outreach is the need for safer and more convenient walking and biking facilities, particularly near transit stations. Bicycle and pedestrian gaps are mapped in the following section on Mobility and Climate, and these maps show which gaps are located in EFAs. Figure 4.24 summarizes how complete the bicycle, pedestrian and transit networks are (including bicycle and pedestrian facilities near transit<sup>37</sup>) in EFAs versus in other areas.

**Figure 4.24: Pedestrian, bicycle and trail network completion for EFAs and non-EFAs (2018 RTP networks and current RLIS data)**



The region has made more progress completing the active transportation network, and also in providing bicycle and pedestrian connections to transit, in EFAs than in other communities. However, significant portions of the network still need to be completed for everyone in the region to benefit from high-quality walking and biking connections. The results above also reflect slow but steady progress in building out the region’s active transportation network. The pedestrian and bicycle networks, both region-wide and in EFAs, are 3% more complete than they were when Metro last conducted for 2015, and the trail network is 6% more complete.

<sup>37</sup> Research has shown that people are willing to travel further to access high-quality, frequent transit than they are normal bus service. The transit access analysis for the 2018 RTP used different travelsheds to examine access to different types of transit: ½ mile for light rail, 1/3 mile for streetcar, and ¼ mile for bus. This analysis uses these same travelsheds to identify bicycle and pedestrian facilities near transit.

In spite of this progress, crashes are still concentrated in Equity Focus areas, and are particularly likely to involve BIPOC people. Metro analyzed crash data from the Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), which includes race and ethnicity for traffic fatalities,<sup>38</sup> to assess the impact of fatal crashes on different populations in Multnomah, Washington, and Clackamas counties. Normalizing by population, Black, American Indian and Alaska Native people experience roughly double the number of traffic fatalities that other groups experience. This finding is consistent with analysis conducted by ODOT in 2019.<sup>39</sup>

As Figure 4.25 shows, three quarters of serious pedestrian and bicycle crashes and 65% of all serious crashes occur in Equity Focus Areas (see the Equity section below for information on these areas). Addressing safety in these areas is critical to making the entire transportation system safer and more equitable.


---

<sup>38</sup> FARS is a nationwide census providing yearly data regarding fatal injuries suffered in motor vehicle traffic crashes. <https://www.nhtsa.gov/research-data/fatality-analysis-reporting-system-fars>

<sup>39</sup> Josh Roll, Nathan McNeil, Race and income disparities in pedestrian injuries: Factors influencing pedestrian safety inequity, Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, Volume 107, 2022, 103294, ISSN 1361-9209, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1361920922001225>. This study employs an ecological analysis to explore pedestrian safety disparities in Oregon, incorporating crash data, roadway and land use factors, and sociodemographic data. Lower median income and higher BIPOC population shares are found to be associated with more pedestrian injuries. These variables may be proxies for other traffic exposure and deficient built environment variables, which may reflect a lack of historic investment in the neighborhoods where these populations are concentrated.



**Figure 4.25: Percent of average annual traffic fatalities and severe injuries in Equity Focus Areas, by mode, 2016-2021 (ODOT crash data, analyzed by Metro staff)**



Though bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure is generally equitably distributed—in fact, the region has a slightly better track record of completing planned infrastructure in EFAs than in other communities—a higher percentage of pedestrian crashes are still occurring in EFAs. One explanation for this is that other factors besides the presence of trails, sidewalks and bicycle infrastructure helps reduce crashes for vulnerable users, but other factors, such as the design and posted speed of travel lanes, also influence the overall safety of streets.

## 4.4 ECONOMY

Transportation and the economy are deeply interrelated. The transportation system plays a critical role in connecting workers to jobs in allowing employers access to the talent that they need, and shifts in the economy often lead to changes in how people and goods travel through the region. The RTP aims to support the region’s economy by improving connections to jobs and also to respond to how transportation patterns are changing in the region.

This section examines how the region’s economy is growing and changing, how workers and goods move through the region, and how well the transportation system currently serves employment centers. Key findings include:

- Over the past decade, the Portland region’s economy has grown stronger relative to the rest of the U.S., and the region has experienced slightly lower-than-average unemployment.
- Trade, transportation and utilities; professional and business services; and education and health services continue to be the largest employment sectors in the region.
- The majority of the region’s jobs are located in the centers and employment / industrial areas identified by the 2040 Growth Concept.
- More than 45% of workers in Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington counties work in a different county than where they live.
- The number of commuters who travel into the region from surrounding communities is growing, but the majority of commute trips in the region still begin and end within Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington counties.
- The majority of the region’s freight still moves by truck, but high-value freight is more likely to use other modes.
- Anyone who is able to commute by auto enjoys reasonably good access to jobs, but transit does not provide nearly the same level of access as driving does. People can reach five to ten times as many jobs by auto as they can by transit.
- Active transportation networks are generally more complete within regional centers and near transit.

### 4.4.1 Jobs and growth

The 2018 RTP described a region that was growing rapidly into a major U.S. metropolitan area, with large numbers of people from other cities migrating to the greater Portland region. It described some of the challenges associated with that growth, including growing congestion, rising housing costs, and increased displacement of people of color and people with low incomes to neighborhoods that are harder to serve with transit and other transportation options. These forces still continue to shape the region, though there are signs that growth may be slowing.


Between 2015 (the base year for the 2018 RTP update) and 2020 (the base year for the 2023 RTP), the region grew significantly—by 135,000 people (an 8.4% increase), 57,000 households (8.9%)



and 90,000 jobs (10.1%).<sup>40</sup> This growth is projected to continue, though not necessarily at the same rapid rate as the region saw during the previous decade. Even prior to the pandemic, State economists and demographers predicted that population growth in Oregon and our region would be slower during the 2020s than it had been during the 2010s, and in 2022 the Census Bureau estimated that the State and region’s population declined for the first time in years.<sup>41</sup> Generally, slower population growth also means slower economic growth, and recent State analyses find that businesses in Oregon are having a harder-than-ever time filling vacant positions.

Figure 4.26 shows historical unemployment rates for the greater Portland region, which in this and the following charts include Clackamas, Clark, Columbia, Multnomah, Skamania, Washington, and Yamhill counties—the 7-county region that is commonly used in reporting on the region’s economy because it captures the full extent of potential commutes to and from our region’s job centers.

**Figure 4.26: Unemployment rate in the greater Portland region vs. the U.S., 2000-22 (Oregon Employment Department, 2022)**



This chart highlights three different phases in the region’s recent economic growth. Prior to 2011, (phase 1) the region generally experienced higher unemployment rates than the national average compared to the U.S. as a whole, particularly during recessions. Between 2011 and 2020 (phase 2) the region has consistently had lower unemployment rates than the rest of the country. In 2020


<sup>40</sup> [Metro 2045 Distributed Growth Forecast](#)

<sup>41</sup> <https://oregoneconomicanalysis.com/2022/12/29/oregon-population-growth-2022/>

the COVID-19 pandemic triggered an exceptional recession, both in the region and nationwide, which receded much more quickly than prior recessions (phase 3). Overall, the region’s economy has grown stronger relative to the rest of the U.S, and since 2011 the region has consistently had lower unemployment rates than the rest of the country. These recent low unemployment rates are particularly remarkable since they are happening at a time when regional participation in the labor force is increasing, which normally causes unemployment to rise because more people are actively looking for work, and people who are not looking for work are not counted as “unemployed.” Between 2011 and 2020, the labor force participation rate in the broader economic region grew or remained constant for every age group of workers, whereas in the U.S. as a whole it fell for many age groups.<sup>42</sup> Figure 4.26 also highlights the exceptional nature of the recent recession triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic, which receded much more quickly than prior recessions. During the prior two recessions in 2002-04 and 2009-14 both the regional and national unemployment rates remained above 6% for several years, whereas they only remained at such high rates for a single year during the most recent 2020 recession.

Figure 4.27 shows the industries in which people hold jobs within the same 7-county region discussed above.

**Figure 4.27: Employment by industry in the greater Portland region (Oregon Employment Department, 2019)**



<sup>42</sup> The Columbia-Willamette Workforce Collaborative, State of Workforce Labor Report, 2023. <https://www.worksystems.org/news-events/news/columbia-willamette-workforce-collaborative-publishes-latest-state-workforce-report>




According to this data, which is from 2019, the most recent non-pandemic data was available, Transportation, Professional Services, and Education and Health are the largest employment sectors in the region, collectively accounting for half of the jobs. Those sectors also dominated the region’s economy according to the 2015 data that was included in the 2018 RTP. Collectively those major employment sectors—along with Information, which is a fast-growing sector in the current economy—have accounted for most of the region’s recent economic growth. The pandemic led to a 7% overall decrease in regional employment in 2020, but all of the sectors shown above have recovered from their losses except the leisure and hospitality sector, which suffered nationwide losses as travel and in-person events ceased and continues to recover slowly due to low levels of tourism.

#### 4.4.2 Where jobs are located

Figure 4.28 shows where jobs are currently located in the Portland region. Census tracts with more jobs are shaded in darker blue on the map, and tracts with above average numbers of jobs are outlined in fuchsia.


**Figure 4.28: Number of jobs by Census Tract, 2021 (Economic Value Atlas: Esri/DataAxle)**



Jobs are distributed throughout the region, but there are higher-than-average concentrations of jobs in the centers of larger cities in the region, including Portland, Beaverton, Gresham, Hillsboro, and Tigard; and in major employment or industrial areas such as the Columbia Corridor, the 224 Corridor, Tualatin-Sherwood, and North Hillsboro.

The 2040 Growth Concept, shown in Figure 4.29 below, designates where and how the region is planned to grow over the next several decades. It includes a network of regional and town centers (shown in pink) and employment lands (shown in blue). These centers and employment lands include the areas that are currently rich in jobs shown in Figure 4.28 above, as well as areas where the region is planning to develop space for jobs in the future.

**Figure 4.29: 2040 Growth Concept Map**



The 2040 Growth Concept helps to identify the many different job and activity centers in the region that need to be included in this web of connections. At the same time, local pedestrian, bike and transit connections are necessary in and around these centers to give people safe, affordable and healthy options for shorter trips to shops, services, and other non-work destinations.

#### 4.4.3 How workers move through the region


Between 2015 (the base year for the 2018 RTP update) and 2020 (the base year for the 2023 RTP update), the region grew significantly—by 135,000 people (an 8.4% increase), 57,000 households (8.9%) and 90,000 jobs (10.1%).<sup>43</sup> This growth is projected to continue, though not necessarily at

<sup>43</sup> Metro Regional Travel Model.



the same rapid rate as the region saw during the previous decade. As greater Portland continues to grow into a major metropolitan area, with increasing housing prices and a more specialized economy, commute patterns are becoming more complex. Figure 4.30 shows how workers commute within and between counties in and around the region. It includes data for counties that are outside the region that have significant amounts of workers commuting to or from the Metro region.

**Figure 4.30: Where workers live and commute in the greater Portland region and surrounding counties, 2019 (Census LEHD Origin-Destination Employment Statistics)**



This figure highlights how commute patterns in the region are increasingly complex and long-distance. More than 45% of workers in Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties work in a different county than where they live. Travel patterns like those shown above are typical of major metropolitan areas with large populations, clusters of specialized jobs, and rising housing prices that limit many people from living close to jobs. Most of the longer-distance commute trips highlighted in Figure 4.30 are made by car; frequent and high-capacity transit routes are needed to provide affordable, congestion-free commute alternatives as the region grows.

Though commute patterns are growing more complex and the share of long-distance commutes is increasing, the majority of commute trips pass through the heart of the region—which means that

investing in the transportation system in the central areas of the region continues to be critical to supporting the region’s economic growth. More than 70% of the commutes within the 7-county economic region discussed above begin and end within Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties. Multnomah County is particularly central to the region’s economy—it is the only county that experiences significant population gains during the working day. Washington County has roughly the same amount of workers commuting into the county and workers commuting out of the county, and Clackamas County loses more workers than it gains during the day. These numbers help to contextualize some of the findings elsewhere in this report that show Multnomah County having more crashes, more congestion, and more transit service than other counties; these issues are due in part to the fact that Multnomah County has more people commuting to, from, and through it. This is not to dismiss the growth in long-distance commutes over the past decade; the number of workers traveling into the region from counties such as Columbia and Marion increased significantly between 2015, when Metro last reviewed this data, and 2019. However, even with this growth there are roughly 36,000 of these long-distance commutes happening every day, compared to the 800,000 daily commutes within the region’s core.


#### **4.4.4 How goods move through the region**

Keeping freight moving is a critical part of regional mobility. Most of the products we buy come from someplace else, and many of the goods we produce in Oregon move on to markets in other states and countries. The global economy is expanding rapidly, and our region’s ability to move products to far-flung markets depends on an efficient transportation system. With its location on Interstate 5, the West Coast artery of the Interstate Highway System, the greater Portland region is ideally situated to move freight by truck, and on an average weekday freight accounts for roughly 12% of the vehicle trips in the region. But with Portland International Airport, two Class 1 railroads (mainline railroads Union Pacific and Burlington Northern/Santa Fe), the southern terminus of the 400-mile Olympic Pipeline, and a location at the confluence of two major rivers with ocean access and several marine terminals, the region’s freight transportation system is a multimodal network.


Figure 4.31 and Figure 4.32 summarize the value and weight of the goods that move through the region by mode. High-value goods make up an increasing share of the freight that moves through the region, and they sometimes take different routes and modes than other goods in order to arrive at their destinations safely and on time. Distinguishing between value and weight helps to identify how goods of different value are moving through the transportation system.



**Figure 4.31: Weight of outbound freight by mode in the Greater Portland Region, 2017  
(Freight Analysis Framework data)**



**Figure 4.32: Value of outbound freight by mode in the Greater Portland Region, 2017  
(Freight Analysis Framework data)**



The majority of the region's freight, whether by value or weight, is moved by truck. High value freight is less likely to move by truck and rail, and more likely to use multiple modes, mail, water, and air. As Oregon's economy shifts from bulk products like farm exports and timber to lighter products like semiconductors, electronics and specialized machinery, improving freight connectivity to the airport and other intermodal facilities will help keep goods moving through the region.

#### 4.4.5 Connecting the region's employment centers

The RTP goals envision a region where employment centers are accessible through a variety of multimodal connections. This means that the 2040 centers and employment/industrial lands shown above in Figure 4.29 should be well-connected by vehicle and transit because commutes are often the longest trip people take in a day, and these are the modes best suited for long trips. It also means that these centers need to include solid bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and a mix of land uses so that people can get meals or run other errands without needing to drive.

Table 4.6 below examines how accessible jobs are by driving and transit, comparing access to jobs via transit and automobile during peak hours and other times of the day. This table is also included above in the Mobility section, which provides more details on the methodology and how access to destinations is related to land use patterns and the transportation system.

**Table 4.6: Percent of jobs accessible by driving and by transit, by community type and time of day, 2020 (Metro travel model and land use data)**

	Percent of jobs accessible within...	
	... a 30-minute drive	...a 45-minute transit trip
During rush hour	41%	7%
Outside of rush hour	46%	6%

Anyone who is able to commute by auto has reasonably good access to jobs—the average driver can reach roughly half of the region's jobs outside of rush hour. But transit does not provide nearly the same level of access as driving does; people can access five to ten times as many jobs by auto as they can by driving. Adding high-frequency transit service that connects the neighborhoods where workers live to employment centers is critical to meeting the RTP's goal of providing multimodal connections to work.

Table 4.7 below compares how complete the bike/ped network is<sup>44</sup> in key 2040 geographies – centers, station communities, mixed-use communities, and employment/industrial lands—versus in the region as a whole. Meeting the economy goal in the RTP means prioritizing active transportation investments in these centers.

<sup>44</sup> Metro distinguishes between on-street bicycle and pedestrian gaps in facilities like bike lanes and sidewalks and off-street bike/ped gaps in facilities like trails. On-street facilities are generally needed to provide good active transportation connections in centers, near transit, and along arterials, whereas off-street facilities provide longer-distance connections between these areas. Table 4.7 focuses on the on-street bike/ped network.



**Table 4.7: Bike/ped system completeness by location within the region (2018 RTP networks, RLIS data, and 2022 partner agency data)**

<b>Network</b>	<b>Total planned miles</b>	<b>Number of miles completed</b>	<b>Percent of miles completed</b>
<b>Region-wide</b>			
Pedestrian network	1,040	597	57%
Bicycle network	1,149	626	55%
Trail network	560	245	44%
Motor vehicle network	1,171	1,146	98%
<b>Within 2040 centers</b>			
Pedestrian network	181	141	78%
Bicycle network	168	112	66%
<b>Within station communities outside above centers</b>			
Pedestrian network	108	72	67%
Bicycle network	123	69	56%
<b>Within mixed-use zoning outside above centers &amp; station communities</b>			
Pedestrian network	136	106	78%
Bicycle network	114	75	66%
<b>Within employment and industrial areas outside above centers, station communities, and mixed-use zoning</b>			
Pedestrian network	147	60	41%
Bicycle network	133	73	55%

Consistent with the 2040 Growth Concept, active transportation networks are generally more complete within regional centers and near transit. However, several important gaps remain in these areas, which can be seen in the “gap maps” in the Mobility section.

## 4.5 CLIMATE

Climate change is the defining global challenge of the 21st century. And as the recent increase in climate-induced wildfires and extreme weather events has demonstrated, it is likely to have significant impacts on the Portland region. In 2009, the Oregon Legislature set goals to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions 10% below 1990 levels by 2020 and at least 75% below 1990 levels by 2050.<sup>45</sup> More recently, Executive Order 20-04 set new emissions reduction goals that call for the State of Oregon to reduce its GHG emissions at least 45% below 1990 emissions levels by 2035 and at least 80% below 1990 levels by 2050.<sup>46</sup> These updated goals are consistent with the reductions that climate scientists now believe are necessary to avoid catastrophic climate change impacts.

The transportation sector is the largest contributor to greenhouse gas emissions in Oregon. It is therefore a key focus of the state's greenhouse gas reduction efforts. And the State, recognizing the role that regional transportation plans (RTPs) play in influencing transportation policies, projects, and outcomes, has relied on RTPs to help reduce transportation emissions. The State is responsible for allocating state and federal funds to reduce GHG emissions by making vehicles and fuels cleaner; it assigns regions targets that are designed to make up the gap between those State-led reductions and State goals. Beginning in 2012, the State set GHG reduction targets for the greater Portland region to meet and has continued to update these targets since, most recently in July 2022. The Portland region's targets are:

- A 20% reduction in per capita greenhouse gas emissions by the year 2035 (the target for the Climate Smart Strategy adopted in 2014)<sup>47</sup>
- A 25% reduction by 2040 (the target for the 2018 RTP)
- A 30% reduction by 2045 (the target for the 2023 RTP)
- A 35% reduction by 2050 (the target for the 2028 RTP)
- Targets for the years 2041-2049 steadily increase from 26 to 34% in order to maintain progress toward the 2050 target.<sup>48</sup>

These targets are relative to a 2005 base year. They are based on per capita emissions in order to control for population growth and focus on the impact of transportation policies, programs and plans on GHG emissions. Regional targets only apply to certain types of emissions, and therefore only certain reduction strategies count toward Metro's targets:

---

<sup>45</sup> Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Greenhouse Gas Emissions, <https://www.oregon.gov/deq/eq/programs/Pages/GHG-Oregon-Emissions.aspx>

<sup>46</sup> [https://www.oregon.gov/gov/Documents/executive\\_orders/eo\\_20-04.pdf](https://www.oregon.gov/gov/Documents/executive_orders/eo_20-04.pdf)

<sup>47</sup> The Climate Smart Strategy adopted in 2014 was forecasted to achieve a 29 percent reduction by 2035 if fully implemented.


<sup>48</sup> Oregon Administrative Rule 660-044-0020, <https://secure.sos.state.or.us/oard/displayDivisionRules.action?selectedDivision=3093>  
[https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/LAR/Documents/2022-01\\_Div44.pdf](https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/LAR/Documents/2022-01_Div44.pdf)



- **Strategies that reduce emissions from light vehicles**, including passenger vehicles (cars, pickup trucks and SUVs) and commercial trucks with a vehicle weight rating of 10,000 pounds or less.
- **Strategies that impact household travel**, whether physically traveled by the members of the household or by deliveries and miscellaneous commercial travel to their home.<sup>4</sup>
- **Strategies that benefit the climate by reducing vehicle miles traveled.** The State estimates the impact of State-level vehicle- and fuel-based reductions and then sets regional greenhouse gas targets to fill the remaining gap needed to meet Oregon’s emissions goals. It would be double-counting if regions also took credit for vehicle- and fuel-based reductions, which would lead agencies to overestimate progress toward Oregon’s climate goals. The State has clarified that **the targets shown above are equivalent to VMT reduction targets.**

The Climate Smart Strategy,<sup>49</sup> adopted in 2014, is the region’s blueprint for reducing emissions. It identifies a toolkit of high- and medium-impact GHG reduction strategies, summarized in Figure 4.33 below, that the region’s cities, counties and transportation agencies continue to rely on today.

**Figure 4.33: Climate Smart greenhouse gas reduction strategies**



<sup>49</sup> <https://www.oregonmetro.gov/climate-smart-strategy>

### 4.5.1 The 2023 RTP GHG and VMT gap

Though the region’s basic toolkit for fighting climate change has remained consistent since 2010, the State regularly updates the region’s GHG and VMT targets and requires each RTP update to include a revised climate analysis that demonstrates the region’s progress toward these new targets that accounts for state clean vehicle and fuel strategies and that updates the level of implementation of different local and regional strategies to reflect the policies and investments in the RTP. If this analysis finds that the RTP is not sufficient to meet regional targets, JPACT and Metro Council can consider changes to the RTP and other corrective actions to further reduce VMT and GHG emissions to meet regional targets.

Prior to updating the 2023 RTP projects and programs, Metro estimated the gap between the region’s existing emissions under the 2018 RTP and its updated GHG reduction targets.<sup>50</sup> The size and nature of the gap help to understand and anticipate the extent to which the RTP may need to be updated to meet its climate targets, and what the needed changes might look like. Metro used VisionEval, which is the tool the State used to set the regional climate targets in the RTP and is designed to allow users to evaluate and compare multiple different GHG reduction scenarios, to assess two scenarios:

The **target scenario**, which represents the Portland region’s GHG/VMT reduction target. The region’s emissions targets are based on a percentage reduction in 2005-level GHG emissions that is needed to be on track to meet statewide goals for 2050; the Target scenario applies these reductions to daily VMT per capita from 2005 to estimate target levels of daily VMT per capita for different milestone years.

The **STS+RTP18 scenario**, which represents forecasted GHG/VMT reductions due to implementation of adopted State and local/regional plans. State-level reductions are based on the adopted Statewide Transportation Strategy (STS),<sup>51</sup> which outlines the strategies that the State will take to reduce transportation-sector GHG emissions on variables such as the share of zero-emission vehicles, the carbon intensity of fuels, the balance of cars and trucks in the passenger fleet, vehicle turnover rates, and the cost of travel (accounting for the cost of various types of energy as well as State-led pricing programs, such as pay-as-you-drive insurance, mileage based taxes (e.g. vehicle miles traveled fees), social cost recovery fee pricing (e.g., carbon tax), and congestion pricing). The State-adopted targets were set at a level that assumed that some combination of these forms of pricing would be implemented in Oregon by 2050. Metro must use the fleet- and technology-related assumptions in the RTP climate analysis as defined in the State’s target rule methodology. Metro is allowed to rely on the other State-led actions in the STS

---

<sup>50</sup> Metro coordinated closely with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) on the climate modeling and State-defined technical assumptions used in the analysis, consistent with OAR 660-044-0030 and the State’s target rule methodology as described in the Scenario Planning Guidelines Technical Appendix 1.1 published by ODOT and DLCD in August 2017.

<sup>51</sup> <https://www.oregon.gov/odot/Planning/Pages/STS.aspx>



(including State-led pricing strategies) <sup>52</sup> in the RTP climate analysis. The RTP climate analysis included the State-led pricing assumptions because these strategies were assumed by the State when it set the GHG/VMT reduction targets for the region in 2011 and 2017.

The initial forecast of future GHG emissions below reflects the assumptions from the STS discussed above as well as the local and regional GHG reduction strategies adopted in the 2018 RTP, which included significant investments in transit, active transportation, travel demand and system management, and other strategies. In 2020, Metro staff made minor adjustments to some of the VisionEval inputs that represent the 2018 RTP in order to capture progress in implementing these strategies.<sup>53</sup>

Table 4.8 and Figure 4.34 show GHG reductions under the scenario above compared to the updated RTP climate targets as well as the **RTP23 gap**, which is the remaining reduction in GHG/VMT that the 2023 RTP needs to achieve in order to meet regional climate targets. The **RTP23 gap** is calculated as the difference between the results of the Target Scenario and those of the STS+RTP18 Scenario. These results are shown in both absolute daily VMT per capita and in the same percentage reductions relative to the 2005 baseline that the State uses when establishing climate targets for the region. Throughout the development of the RTP, the estimated RTP23 gap served as a basis for discussions about how best to update policies, projects and programs to achieve the regional climate target for 2045.


**Table 4.8: Estimated absolute and percentage reductions in daily VMT per capita by scenario**

Year	Target (absolute)	Target (% reduction)	STS + RTP18 (absolute)	STS + RTP18 (% reduction)	Estimated RTP23 gap (absolute)	Estimated RTP23 gap (% reduction)
2005	19.4	0%	19.4	0%	0	0%
2035	15.5	-20%	15.0	-22%	-0.4	2%
2040	14.5	-25%	14.6	-24%	0.2	-1%
2045	13.5	-30%	14.5	-25%	1.0	-5%
2050	12.5	-35%	14.3	-26%	1.8	-9%

<sup>52</sup> Pricing assumptions included in the STS include throughway tolls, per-mile fees on driving, and new taxes and fees on vehicles. See Chapter 7 and Appendix J for a more detailed discussion of these assumptions.

<sup>53</sup> The 2020 adjustments focused on adjusting assumptions regarding participation in traveler information and incentive programs based on updated evaluation data from Metro’s Regional Travel Options program demonstrating that participation in these programs is often more limited than anticipated. The 2018 RTP assumed that 30 percent of workers and 45 percent of households receive regular travel options programming; Metro revised these assumptions downward to 5 percent and 0.5 percent, respectively to reflect more recent data from Metro’s Regional Travel Options Program. Other assumptions from the 2018 RTP climate analysis can be found in Appendix J of the 2018 RTP: [https://www.oregonmetro.gov/sites/default/files/2019/04/02/RTP-Appendix\\_J\\_Climate\\_Smart\\_Strategy\\_Monitoring181206.pdf](https://www.oregonmetro.gov/sites/default/files/2019/04/02/RTP-Appendix_J_Climate_Smart_Strategy_Monitoring181206.pdf).

**Figure 4.34: Estimated percentage reductions in daily VMT per capita, Target vs. STS+RTP18 Scenario**



These results for the STS+RTP18 Scenario confirm that the 2018 RTP was largely on track to meet its GHG reduction targets for 2035 and 2040. However, the results also highlight a growing gap between the region’s climate targets and 2018 RTP investments and policies for the years 2040-50. This is somewhat expected because the State set targets out to 2050 that will require additional GHG reductions, whereas the GHG strategies adopted in the 2018 RTP are assumed to remain unchanged after 2040 in this initial analysis. Nonetheless, the way that the results of the two scenarios diverge after 2040 suggests that the 2023 RTP will need to reflect additional action at the state, regional, and/or local level to achieve its 2050 targets. This analysis estimates that the region needs to reduce 2050 daily VMT per capita by 1.8 miles below currently forecasted levels to meet the region’s targets. This is equivalent to reducing VMT/GHG emissions by roughly one-third more than what the 2018 RTP was expected to achieve.

Coordinated implementation of multiple GHG reduction strategies at the local and regional levels can help to achieve the necessary reductions, particularly when supported by State-led policies and actions in the STS. The findings from this analysis provided a foundation for updating the RTP




policies and investments in Chapter 6. Chapter 7 and Appendix J report on the 2023 RTP progress toward meeting regional climate target for 2045. Metro will also prepare a separate monitoring report for DLCD in Spring 2024 as required by [OAR 660-012-0900](#).


#### 4.5.2 Recent trends in GHG emissions and VMT per capita

As shown in Figure 4.34 above, both the RTP and the regional targets that are set by the State anticipate that transportation-sector GHG emissions will decrease significantly in the coming decades. This is contrary to recent trends. As shown in Figure 4.35 and Figure 4.36, both overall and transportation-sector GHG emissions in Oregon have declined slightly since their peak in 2007-08, but have remained largely flat over the past decade.

**Figure 4.35: Total Oregon GHG emissions, 1990-2021 (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Greenhouse Gas Sector-Based Inventory Data)**



**Figure 4.36: Total Oregon GHG transportation-sector emissions, 1990-2021 (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Greenhouse Gas Sector-Based Inventory Data)**



The reason that projected trends are different from recent ones is that the former account for the many recent state-level GHG reduction policies, programs and initiatives discussed in the previous section. These are all strategies that have either been recently initiated or that the state and region plan to initiate soon, and that will have long-term, cumulative GHG reductions—including sweeping actions to promote clean vehicles, among them a requirement that auto vendors offer only zero-emission vehicles for sale in Oregon by the year 2035. These expected reductions are clearly visible in the GHG projections above, but not in recent data from before these strategies were initiated.

The difference between current and projected trends highlights the challenge involved in meeting the RTP’s climate targets, as well as the importance of monitoring state and regional progress in implementing climate strategies so that future RTP updates can maintain progress toward targets even if current strategies are not producing the intended GHG reductions. The Oregon Transportation Emissions website monitors the state’s progress implementing the Statewide Transportation Strategy, including “Emissions per Vehicle mile” on the Progress page, and further actions by category.<sup>54</sup> Appendix J to the RTP monitors the region’s progress implementing the Climate Smart Strategy.

A slightly different picture emerges when comparing recent trends and projections for vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita. VMT per capita measures how much the average person in the Portland region drives each day, and is the main indicator used to track progress toward regional climate targets because local and regional transportation agencies in Oregon generally lead on reducing GHG emissions by helping people drive less, whereas state agencies generally lead on making vehicles and fuels cleaner. Many transportation agencies in the region use VMT per capita


<sup>54</sup> <https://www.oregontransportationemissions.com/progress>



to measure progress toward creating vibrant communities and providing multimodal travel options. Understanding current and historical VMT per capita can help identify additional opportunities to reduce emissions and close any gap remaining between forecasted emissions under the 2023 RTP and the region’s climate target for 2045.

Figure 4.37 below shows historical trends in VMT per capita between 1990 and 2020 for both the U.S. and the greater Portland region and compares them to RTP climate targets for the years 2020 through 2050. The data in this chart comes from two different sources - historical VMT per capita comes from observed data, whereas targets are based on projections - and it is not appropriate to compare these two different sources in detail, but showing them side-by-side illustrates how the VMT per capita reductions called for in regional targets compare to the region's track record of reducing VMT per capita.

**Figure 4.37: Daily VMT per capita for the Greater Portland region (dark blue) and the U.S (light blue), 1990-2020 (Oregon and Washington Highway Performance Monitoring System offices) and regional climate targets (green)**



Per capita VMT in the greater Portland region has been significantly lower than the national average since 1997. There has been a general downward trend, with a few exceptions during economic booms, over the past 25 years. However, between 2010 and early 2020<sup>55</sup> there was

<sup>55</sup> Figure 4.37 also shows a steep decline in both national and regional VMT per capita in 2020. This reflects the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, which led many people to limit their travel as stay-at-home orders were carried out and many schools and workplaces closed. Metro’s Emerging Transportation Trends study (<https://www.oregonmetro.gov/public-projects/2023-regional-transportation-plan/research>) estimated that the

little or no decline in VMT per capita. The region's past successes with integrating transportation and land use planning to implement the 2040 Growth Concept appear to have had a lasting impact on people's travel choices and how far and how much people choose to drive, and even during periods of growth they may have helped to keep VMT per capita from increasing. Compared to the GHG emissions trends discussed above, recent VMT per capita trends are better aligned with the region's targets, suggesting that the region may be better positioned to reduce VMT than to reduce GHG emissions. But in order to continue to reduce VMT—especially in an era when high housing costs make it challenging for many people to live in neighborhoods with good access to travel options—the region will likely need to take new approaches, such as congestion pricing, in combination with doubling down on high-impact strategies such as expanding frequent transit, creating affordable housing in regional and town centers served by transit, and managing or pricing parking.

These results help to provide some context for understanding the estimated VMT reduction gap between the 2018 RTP and regional climate targets discussed in the previous section. The estimated gap of 1.8 miles per person per day is roughly the same amount that regional VMT declined between 1997 and 2002 or 2007 and 2013, which are two of the periods when VMT declined the most during the past 30 years. This suggests that closing such a gap is feasible, even during a period of economic growth such as 1997-2002 (all things being equal, VMT tends to increase as the economy grows), but it requires a deliberate and coordinated effort.


Figure 4.38 shows how estimated household-based VMT per capita from Metro's travel model varies across the region. Though these are estimates, they highlight relative differences in VMT per capita based on the mix and density of nearby land uses and availability of transportation options.

---

persistence of teleworking and other pandemic-era behaviors could reduce 2050 VMT per capita by three to eight percent, all other things being equal.



**Figure 4.38: Home-based VMT per capita by Metro transportation analysis zone, 2020 (Metro regional travel model)**



VMT per capita is lower in regional and town centers, along frequent transit lines, and in many of the region’s older neighborhoods, downtowns and main streets. This is consistent with research finding that VMT per capita tends to be lower in compact communities with a mix of destinations and good access to transit and other options.<sup>56</sup> It demonstrates the impact of sound land use planning and diverse travel options on VMT per capita, and the critical role that local and regional implementation of the 2040 Growth Concept plays in meeting the region’s climate goals.

<sup>56</sup> <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/12747/driving-and-the-built-environment-the-effects-of-compact-development>

## 4.6 CONCLUSION

This section summarizes key findings from this chapter, by RTP goal area:

### Mobility

- Travel declined during the COVID pandemic. Between October 2019 and October 2021, daily throughway trips on a sample of regional mobility corridors decreased by 5%, daily arterial trips decreased by 14%, and daily transit ridership decreased by 41%.
- Overall, the planned motor vehicle network is much more complete than the other modal networks.
- Active transportation networks are mostly complete near transit. However, there are plenty of small gaps that hinder people’s ability to walk and bike to transit stations and other important destinations. There are larger bicycle and pedestrian gaps between urban centers and at the edges of the region, many of which are on the trail system.
- During rush hour, the average traveler can reach 43% of jobs in the region by driving, and 7% by transit. Metro and partner agencies are working to increase ridership by better connecting activity centers—potentially including many developing suburban centers—with frequent transit.

### Safety

- The region is not on track to meet its target of reducing fatal and serious injury crashes to zero by 2035. By every safety measure that the RTP tracks, the region’s streets are getting less safe, and the RTP is not meeting the interim 2020 targets that it established to maintain progress toward the 2035 Vision Zero goal.
- From 2016 through 2020, 2,814 people were killed or experienced a life-changing severe injury from a traffic crash in the greater Portland region, an average of 563 people per year.
- Traffic fatalities in the Portland region have been increasing for users of all modes, except for people bicycling. Severe injury crashes are also increasing, though not as dramatically as fatal crashes.
- Pedestrians experience a disproportionately high number of traffic deaths.
- Fatal and severe crashes are concentrated at a small number of corridors and intersections, which the RTP refers to as High Injury Corridors and High Injury Intersections.
- Safety is an equity issue. Two-thirds of all serious crashes in RTP Equity Focus Areas where people of color, people with low incomes, and people who speak limited English are concentrated, and Black, American Indian and Alaska Native people experience a disproportionate number of traffic deaths.
- Speed, alcohol, and/or drugs continue to be the most common contributing factors in severe and fatal crashes in the region.

## **Equity**

- There is much work still to be done to reverse the impacts of inequitable transportation decisions from years past.
- The Portland region continues to grow more racially and ethnically diverse.
- The region is aging. The share of people 65 and older is growing while all other age groups are declining. However, people under 44 will continue to be in the majority.
- The COVID-19 impact had particularly severe and long-lasting impacts on people of color and workers with low incomes.
- Regional transportation agencies can advance equity by investing in transit service and safe biking and walking infrastructure in Equity Focus Areas (EFAs), which are communities with concentrations of people of color, people with low incomes, and people with limited English proficiency.
- The region has made significant progress in improving transit service and bike/ped infrastructure within in EFAs, but not enough to address deep-seated inequities. Transit still offers much less access to destinations than driving does, and serious crashes are still concentrated in EFAs.
- Safety is an equity issue. Two-thirds of all serious crashes in regional Equity Focus Areas where people of color, people with low incomes, and people who speak limited English are concentrated, and Black, American Indian and Alaska Native people experience a disproportionate number of traffic deaths.

## **Economy**

- Over the past decade, the Portland region's economy has grown stronger relative to the rest of the U.S., and the region has experienced slightly lower-than-average unemployment.
- Trade, transportation and utilities; professional and business services; and education and health services continue to be the largest employment sectors in the region.
- The majority of the region's jobs are located in the centers and employment / industrial areas identified by the 2040 Growth Concept.
- More than 45% of workers in Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties work in a different county than where they live.
- The number of commuters who travel into the region from surrounding communities is growing, but the majority of commute trips in the region still begin and end within Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington counties.
- The majority of the region's freight still moves by truck, but high-value freight is more likely to use other modes.
- Anyone who is able to commute by auto has reasonably good access to jobs, but transit does not provide nearly the same level of access as driving does. People can access five to ten times as many jobs by auto as they can by transit.



- Active transportation networks are generally more complete within regional centers and near transit.

## **Climate**

- The RTP aims to achieve ambitious greenhouse gas reduction targets set by the State, which call for a 30% reduction in emissions from passenger vehicles below 2005 levels by the year 2045 and a 35% reduction by 2050. These targets focus on reducing emissions by reducing vehicle miles traveled.
- The Climate Smart Strategy is the region’s blueprint for reducing GHG emissions. It identifies several high- and medium-impact strategies, including implementing pricing, investing in transit and active transportation, and coordinating land use and transportation decisions.
- The 2018 RTP was largely on track to meet its GHG reduction targets for 2035 and 2040. However, it appears additional actions and investments are needed to achieve the GHG reduction targets for 2045 and 2050. This means that the 2023 RTP may need to consider additional longer-term actions and investments to reduce GHG emissions.
- Over the past decade, Oregon’s transportation-sector GHG emissions have been flat, and VMT per capita has declined slightly. This is expected given that the many ambitious state, regional and local GHG reduction strategies that have now been adopted were not in effect until recently. But it also highlights the potential challenge of meeting the region’s climate targets for 2045 in this RTP.
- VMT per capita is lower in regional and town centers, along frequent transit lines, and in many of the region’s older neighborhoods, downtowns and main streets. This demonstrates the impact of sound land use planning and diverse travel options on VMT per capita, and the critical role that local and regional implementation of the 2040 Growth Concept plays in meeting the region’s climate goals.

This page intentionally left blank.

This page intentionally left blank.



If you picnic at Blue Lake or take your kids to the Oregon Zoo, enjoy symphonies at the Schnitz or auto shows at the convention center, put out your trash or drive your car – we’ve already crossed paths.

**So, hello. We’re Metro – nice to meet you.**

In a metropolitan area as big as Portland, we can do a lot of things better together. Join us to help the region prepare for a happy, healthy future.

**Metro Council President**

Lynn Peterson

**Metro Councilors**

Ashton Simpson, District 1

Christine Lewis, District 2

Gerritt Rosenthal, District 3

Juan Carlos González, District 4

Mary Nolan, District 5

Duncan Hwang, District 6

**Auditor**

Brian Evans

**Stay in touch with news, stories and things to do.**

[oregonmetro.gov/news](http://oregonmetro.gov/news)

**If you have a disability and need accommodations**, call 503-220-2781, or call Metro’s TDD line at 503-797-1804. If you require a sign language interpreter, call at least 48 hours in advance.



**Metro**

600 NE Grand Ave.

Portland, OR 97232-2736

503-797-1700

503-797-1804 TDD

503-797-1795 fax

For more information, visit  
**[oregonmetro.gov/rtp](http://oregonmetro.gov/rtp)**